



## Transparency, Trust, and Teacher Quality: Rethinking Educational Management Through a Governance Lens

Marnita<sup>1\*</sup>, Iswadi Bensaadi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Almuslim, Aceh, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Malikussaleh, Aceh, Indonesia

**ABSTRACT:** Teacher quality in contemporary education systems is often addressed through technical reforms emphasizing standards, performance measurement, and administrative accountability. However, such approaches tend to overlook the governance conditions under which professional quality is formed and sustained. This article rethinks educational management through a governance lens by examining the interrelationship between transparency, institutional trust, and teacher quality. Drawing on a critical review of literature in educational governance, organizational trust, and professional management, the study argues that the relationship between transparency and teacher quality is not direct but mediated by institutional trust. Transparency that is perceived as fair, consistent, and substantively accountable contributes to the formation of trust, enabling teachers to interpret policies as supportive of professionalism rather than as instruments of control. In trust-based governance environments, teacher quality emerges not merely as individual competence, but as a systemic capacity encompassing professional autonomy, reflective practice, contextual adaptability, and sustained commitment. Conceptually, this article contributes an integrated governance framework that positions transparency, trust, and teacher quality as mutually reinforcing dimensions of educational management. The analysis further highlights the potential role of digital governance innovations, including blockchain-based financial transparency, as institutional enablers strengthen accountability while fostering trust. By emphasizing the balance between accountability and trust, the article offers a governance-oriented perspective for developing more legitimate, sustainable, and systemically grounded strategies to enhance teacher quality.

**KEYWORDS:** Educational Governance, Educational Management, Financial Transparency, Institutional Trust, Teacher Quality.

### INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, educational management has faced increasing pressure to demonstrate accountability, transparency, and publicly defensible performance (17)(35). These demands have emerged alongside growing state investment in the education sector and the strengthening of public governance paradigms that position transparency as a fundamental prerequisite for institutional legitimacy and trust. Within this context, teacher quality can no longer be understood solely as a matter of individual competence, but rather as an outcome of the broader governance of education systems that regulate financing, policy frameworks, and professional support in an integrated manner. In line with this perspective, (24) emphasize that the efficiency and effectiveness of educational financing are reflected in the quality of budget planning, operational cost control, and the continuous evaluation of academic programs.

Although the literature on financial transparency in education and teacher quality continues to expand, these strands of research largely remain fragmented and are rarely situated within an integrated conceptual framework. Transparency is often approached as an administrative obligation in budget management, while teacher quality is treated as a pedagogical variable detached from the dynamics of educational governance. As a result, the role of institutional trust as a mediating mechanism linking financial transparency and teacher quality has received limited attention in educational management studies. This gap is reflected in empirical findings indicating that the management of educational finances in Indonesian schools continues to face substantial structural and governance-related challenges, which significantly constrain both the effectiveness of educational provision and efforts to enhance teacher quality (24)(33).

Building on these gaps, this article seeks to re-examine the relationship between transparency, institutional trust, and teacher quality through a conceptual critical approach grounded in an educational governance lens. By positioning trust as a central mediating mechanism, the article aims to offer a more integrative understanding of how transparency practices in educational



management can contribute to the strengthening of teacher quality and the sustainable improvement of education system performance.

This article aims to conceptually examine and reconstruct the relationship between educational financing transparency, institutional trust, and teacher quality within a governance-based educational management framework. Employing a conceptual critical literature review approach, the article explores how financial transparency functions not merely as an administrative mechanism, but as a strategic instrument for building trust in educational institutions, which ultimately shapes teacher professionalism and quality.

The primary contribution of this article lies in its integration of three domains that have largely remained fragmented in the existing literature: educational financing transparency, trust in public governance, and teacher quality. The article proposes a conceptual framework that positions trust as a mediating mechanism between financial transparency and teacher quality within education systems. In doing so, it advances the educational management discourse by emphasizing that improvements in teacher quality are best understood as outcomes of transparent, fair, and trusted governance arrangements, rather than as the result of interventions targeting individual teachers alone.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual critical literature review approach to examine the relationship between financial transparency, institutional trust, and teacher quality within a governance-based educational management framework. In this study, the literature review is positioned as a research method with a conceptual critical orientation, rather than merely a technique for identifying and summarizing existing references. This approach enables thematic and interpretative synthesis to develop theoretical insights and construct a conceptual framework grounded in the existing body of literature (1).

Previous studies have highlighted that descriptive and interpretative literature reviews are widely employed to identify conceptual patterns and theoretical gaps within a given field of inquiry without relying on quantitative statistical analysis (41). The data sources for this study comprise 43 international scholarly articles published in reputable journals indexed in Scopus and Web of Science (Q1–Q3), as well as Copernicus and SINTA 2, with a specific focus on educational management, public governance, educational financing, and teacher professionalism.

The literature search was conducted through academic databases using combinations of keywords relevant to the research theme. Articles were selected based on topical relevance, publication quality, and their theoretical contribution to understanding the relationship between transparency, institutional trust, and teacher quality. The analysis employed thematic and interpretative synthesis to identify conceptual patterns, prevailing trends, and theoretical gaps within the literature. This approach facilitated the development of a conceptual framework that positions trust as a mediating mechanism between financial transparency and teacher quality within education systems.

## DISCUSSION

### A. *Transparency in Educational Management: Concepts and Dimensions*

Transparency in educational management is commonly understood as the openness of information related to the planning, allocation, and utilization of financial resources (23). From a public governance perspective, transparency functions not merely as an administrative mechanism, but also as an instrument for ensuring accountability, institutional legitimacy, and effective control (25). In the context of managing public education funds, transparency is manifested through open access to information on budget utilization, enabling stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of school financial management. Effective financial management plays a critical role in ensuring the smooth operation of schools, as it directly supports the implementation of educational activities. Well-managed financial resources enable educational institutions to implement planned programs efficiently and sustainably, thereby strengthening organizational capacity and institutional performance (31) (35).

A growing body of research indicates that transparency in the management of school operational funds manifested through open access to information on budget planning and expenditure positively influences the effectiveness of educational financial management by enabling public oversight and strengthening institutional accountability (25)(31). Conversely, inadequate financial management or limited transparency in educational administration may hinder the effective utilization and sustainability of planned educational programs, ultimately undermining institutional performance. Through enhanced information openness, community



participation and oversight in financial management processes become more effective, thereby contributing positively to the overall effectiveness of educational governance.

Therefore, transparency should be understood as an integral component of educational management systems that shapes the relationships among policymakers, institutions, and educational actors. This perspective aligns with the argument that effective educational management extends beyond regulating organizational structures to designing learning environments capable of fostering internal transformation among teachers (3), particularly when supported by transparency practices that are consistent and credible. In this context, (33) emphasize that intelligent, relevant, and accountable transparency not only enhances the social legitimacy of educational institutions, but also cultivates public trust, which theoretically contributes to strengthening educational governance and offers practical implications for reinforcing education financing systems in Indonesia.

## ***B. Trust as a Governance Mechanism in Education Systems***

Trust constitutes a key element of effective educational governance, particularly within increasingly complex and decentralized education systems. Within the framework of educational governance, trust is understood as a form of institutional confidence that enables policies and management mechanisms to operate efficiently without excessive reliance on bureaucratic control (9). In this sense, trust functions as institutional social capital, bridging policy frameworks, managerial practices, and the responses of educational actors to the prevailing governance system.

Cross-disciplinary literature indicates that trust does not emerge spontaneously but is constructed through procedural transparency and the clarity of decision-making processes within complex systems. Studies in Explainable Artificial Intelligence emphasize that trust in a system increases when actors are able to understand, trace, and verify the logic underlying the decisions produced (26). This principle underscores that effective transparency is not merely a matter of information disclosure, but also concerns how information is presented in ways that are comprehensible and governed by clear information governance standards. Within the educational context, this logic reinforces the view that institutional trust develops when policies and management practices including educational financing are perceived as substantively transparent rather than as mere administrative formalities (25) (33).

Beyond procedural dimensions, trust in educational governance is also rooted in financial transparency grounded in ethical principles and accountability. The literature on financial transparency and ethical governance positions financing transparency as a foundation of institutional legitimacy, enabling educational institutions to justify and account for managerial decisions to the public and internal stakeholders (18). Transparency that is integrated with accountability mechanisms and ethical cost management not only strengthens long-term trust but also shapes perceptions of fairness and institutional credibility, framing educational institutions as knowledge-based public organizations (18) (24).

Furthermore, trust in education systems is inherently dynamic and highly contingent upon policy design and institutional reform processes (42). Studies on standards-based reforms in vocational education indicate that standards-oriented policies may generate critical thresholds between trust and distrust among teachers (9). Reforms that are designed in a transparent, consistent, and participatory manner are more likely to strengthen institutional trust, whereas policies perceived as technocratic, coercive, and lacking meaningful dialogue tend to foster distrust and weaken teachers' professional identity (9) (42). These findings underscore that trust is not merely an individual disposition, but rather an outcome of sustained interactions among policy frameworks, standards, and teachers' professional experiences within educational governance systems.

Accordingly, trust can be conceptualized as a governance mechanism that bridges transparency, accountability, and the professional responsiveness of educational actors. Trust shapes the extent to which policies are accepted, internalized, and meaningfully enacted by teachers as the central agents of the education system. From this perspective, trust functions not only as a prerequisite for effective educational governance, but also as a key determinant of the quality and sustainability of teachers' professional practices (21).

Conceptualizing trust as a governance mechanism has direct implications for how teacher quality is understood and developed within education systems. When institutional trust is cultivated through transparency, accountability, and legitimate policymaking, teachers are more likely to respond constructively to policy initiatives, internalize professional standards, and develop high-quality pedagogical practices (21). Accordingly, teacher quality should not be positioned solely as an individual competence attribute, but rather as an outcome of educational governance that is capable of building and sustaining trust over time.



## *C. Teacher Quality Beyond Individual Competence: A Governance Perspective*

Teacher quality in contemporary educational discourse can no longer be understood solely as the accumulation of individual competencies, such as pedagogical knowledge, content mastery, or technical teaching skills (32) (37). Approaches that place excessive emphasis on individual attributes tend to overlook the institutional and governance contexts that shape teachers' professional agency. From an educational governance perspective, teacher quality is a systemic construct, produced through the interaction of policies, organizational structures, professional cultures, and the level of trust embedded within the education system (9).

A growing body of research demonstrates that teacher quality is strongly shaped by governance environments that support professional autonomy, role clarity, and policy legitimacy (32)(21)(40). When teachers operate within transparent and accountable systems, they are more likely to develop higher levels of professional commitment, engage in reflective teaching practices, and remain open to pedagogical innovation. In contrast, systems that emphasize excessive administrative control and coercive standards risk reducing teacher quality to procedural compliance, rather than fostering meaningful instructional practice. This argument is consistent with findings showing that continuing professional development and teamwork competencies in educational contexts enhance teachers' capacity to become more reflective and innovative in their instructional practices, as supportive work environments enable teachers to share strategies, engage in pedagogical experimentation, and learn collaboratively (38).

Further empirical evidence from studies on teachers' instructional practices during pandemic-induced online learning indicates that teacher quality is not determined solely by individual competencies, but is strongly shaped by systemic support mechanisms, including the management of learning resources, professional collaboration structures, and institutional coordination (16). These findings reinforce the view that teacher quality emerges from an educational management ecosystem that is adaptive and responsive to crisis conditions, rather than from isolated individual capacities alone.

Within this framework, teacher quality should be understood as an emergent property of the educational governance system. Trust established among institutions, policymakers, and teachers enables the internalization of professional values, rather than mere compliance with formal regulations. Empirical evidence further strengthens the understanding that teacher quality emerges from educational governance arrangements in which structural and psychological factors interact dynamically. When educational institutions cultivate a supportive, trust-based environment, teachers' self-efficacy is enhanced, thereby fostering adaptive and sustainable instructional practices (34).

Governance enacted through effective forms of leadership has been shown to elevate teaching standards and learning outcomes, offering valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and academic leaders seeking to enhance overall educational quality (2)(37). Institutional trust creates the space for teachers to exercise contextualized pedagogical decision-making and to develop reflective practices that are responsive to student diversity. Within this context, teaching quality is manifested in teachers' capacity to cultivate supportive learning environments, manage classroom dynamics effectively, and stimulate students' cognitive engagement capacities that are fundamentally dependent on professional autonomy in everyday pedagogical practice (10).

Accordingly, teacher quality emerges as the outcome of a reciprocal relationship between individual capacities and the systemic conditions that sustain them. Empirical research by (40) demonstrates that leadership quality and educational practices significantly shape teachers' attitudes and behaviors, which in turn exert a positive influence on student learning outcomes. These findings are consistent with a governance framework that positions trust, teachers' attitudes, and professional behaviors as critical elements in the pursuit of educational quality.

Moreover, standards-based education reforms that are not accompanied by mechanisms of trust risk fragmenting teachers' professional identities. Studies on standards-based reforms indicate that heightened accountability pressures, when implemented without fair and transparent governance support, can undermine teachers' intrinsic motivation and shift professional focus from the quality of learning to the fulfillment of administrative indicators. This condition underscores that improvements in teacher quality cannot be achieved solely through the tightening of standards or performance evaluations, but rather through policy designs that integrate accountability with institutional trust.

Therefore, a conceptual reorientation of teacher quality is crucial in contemporary educational management. Teacher quality should be positioned as an outcome of educational governance that is capable of balancing professional standards, pedagogical autonomy, and institutional trust. A growing body of empirical evidence indicates that instructional leadership and distributed leadership foster supportive and collaborative school cultures, which in turn enhance teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction



(20). This perspective extends the understanding of teacher quality beyond an individual competency issue to a broader indicator of the effectiveness of the educational governance system as a whole.

#### ***D. Integrating Transparency, trust, and Teacher Quality: A Governance-Based Discussion***

In the context of contemporary educational governance, discussions of transparency are increasingly linked to the use of digital technologies, particularly blockchain, as enabling instruments for smart education (14)(22). This approach reflects a shift from hierarchical oversight mechanisms toward more open and decentralized data-driven governance systems. A growing body of literature suggests that blockchain technology has the potential to strengthen transparency, accountability, and institutional trust through secure, decentralized, and tamper-resistant mechanisms for data recording and verification (5). However, this technology should not be understood as a stand-alone technical solution; rather, it functions as a governance enabler whose effectiveness is highly contingent upon policy design, regulatory clarity, and institutional capacity (8)(13). Without reflective and participatory policy frameworks, technological innovation may inadvertently reinforce administrative control rather than contribute to the cultivation of trust.

Trust, within this framework, operates as a mediating mechanism that bridges transparency and teachers' professional practices. Institutionally managed trust constitutes a fundamental precondition for the development of contextual and sustainable teacher quality (11)(39). Trust enables teachers to perceive governance systems as fair, predictable, and supportive environments for long-term professional development. When transparency is enacted through procedures that are comprehensible, equitable, and consistently applied, institutional trust is more likely to emerge, allowing teachers to interpret policies as instruments that support professional growth rather than as mechanisms of administrative control (28). Conversely, transparency that remains symbolic or purely administrative such as formal reporting practices without substantive accountability risks eroding trust and instead reinforces skepticism, policy resistance, and a disconnection between regulatory frameworks and classroom practices. In essence, transparency contributes to quality improvement only when it is translated into meaningful trust-based relationships among institutions, policymakers, and educational actors (25).

The relationship between trust and teacher quality becomes increasingly evident when teacher quality is conceptualized beyond individual competence. From this perspective, teacher quality encompasses reflective capacity, professional autonomy, and the ability to adapt to diverse instructional contexts (24). Trust embedded within educational governance systems creates the conditions necessary for pedagogical autonomy, informed professional decision-making, and the sustained development of reflective practice. In line with this view, (7) conceptualize human resource professionalism as an outcome of the complex interrelationships among processes, functions, institutions, and resources, which collectively shape an integrated educational management environment in which professional quality can develop systemically.

Under these conditions, teachers are no longer positioned merely as policy implementers, but rather as professional actors who actively contribute to the improvement of instructional quality, pedagogical innovation, and institutional development at the school level (12) (20). This expanded role requires governance systems that do not merely regulate, but also empower educators and cultivate institutional trust. Accordingly, the quality of human resources in education emerges as a product of a supportive, adaptive, and responsive governance ecosystem, rather than as the outcome of fragmented interventions focused solely on individual training or performance evaluation (6) (7).

The integration of transparency, trust, and teacher quality further underscores the importance of educational policy design that balances accountability with trust. Education reforms that excessively emphasize standards, performance measurement, and administrative control without being accompanied by process transparency and clear policy rationales risk reducing teacher quality to mere procedural compliance (15) (22). Such approaches tend to overlook the professional and contextual dimensions of teaching practice. In contrast, governance-oriented approaches that integrate financial transparency, regulatory clarity, and participatory mechanisms can strengthen institutional trust while simultaneously fostering the sustainable internalization of teachers' professional values (29).

This discussion reinforces the argument that improvements in teacher quality cannot be achieved through partial interventions focused on isolated dimensions. Meaningful transparency has been shown to contribute significantly to the formation of institutional trust within educational organizations as well as in the public sector more broadly. Organizational studies indicate that higher levels of institutional trust are positively associated with affective commitment, extra-role behaviors, and the quality of professional



performance (4). These findings suggest that institutional trust not only carries normative significance but also generates substantial practical implications for work quality within professional educational contexts.

Aligned with this perspective, (27) through her behavioral theory linking trust, reciprocity, and reputation, emphasizes that trust develops within governance systems supported by clear rules, fair monitoring mechanisms, and the presence of credible external actors. This governance perspective offers a more comprehensive conceptual framework for understanding the interrelationships among educational management, policy design, and teachers' professional practices in contemporary education systems. Empirical studies further demonstrate that innovative and comprehensive teaching practices are closely associated with teachers' self-efficacy, which emerges within institutional contexts that provide pedagogical space, organizational support, and meaningful professional experiences (19).

The relationship between financial transparency and teacher quality, therefore, is not direct but is mediated by the level of trust in educational governing institutions. Transparency that is perceived as fair, consistent, and accountable has the potential to strengthen institutional trust, which in turn shapes teachers' motivation, professional commitment, and the quality of their professional practices (22). Within this framework, the adoption of blockchain technology in digital educational governance can be understood as an institutional enabler that reinforces financial transparency and accountability, thereby supporting the formation of institutional trust. The indirect effects of this process are reflected in sustained improvements in teachers' motivation, professional commitment, and the quality of pedagogical practices over time (5).

## CONCLUSION

This article underscores that improving teacher quality in contemporary education systems cannot be separated from governance-related issues, particularly transparency and institutional trust. Drawing on a conceptual critical literature review, the study demonstrates that transparency should not be understood merely as an administrative obligation, but rather as a structural foundation that shapes trust-based relationships among educational institutions, policymakers, and teachers.

Institutional trust emerges as a central governance mechanism that mediates the relationship between policy design and professional practice. When trust is effectively established, teachers are more likely to interpret policies as supportive instruments for professional growth rather than as tools of bureaucratic control. This condition enables teacher quality to evolve beyond a narrow focus on individual competencies toward a more systemic and context-sensitive understanding rooted in governance dynamics.

Conceptually, this article contributes by proposing an integrated governance framework that positions transparency, trust, and teacher quality as interconnected analytical domains. This perspective extends the discourse in educational management by highlighting the importance of policy and governance designs that balance accountability with trust. The conceptual insights offered provide a foundation for developing more legitimate, sustainable, and system-oriented education policies that strengthen teacher quality through transparent, fair, and trust-based governance arrangements.

## REFERENCES

1. Adeniran, A. O., & Ladega, O. T. (2024). UNDERSTANDING LITERATURE REVIEW AS A RESEARCH METHOD. *American International Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences*, 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.46545/aijhass.v6i1.333>
2. Ambon, J., Alias, B. S., Komariah, A., & Mansor, A. N. (2024). The impact of continuous professional development on teaching quality: a systematic review. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(6). <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i6.30427>
3. Ben-Atar, E., & Ben-Asher, S. (2023). Teachers' transformative learning on digital afterlife. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 39(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2023.2169212>
4. Chen, S. Y., Ahlstrom, D., & Uen, J. F. (2025). Organizational trust and employee work outcomes: A moderated mediation model. *Current Psychology*, 44(8). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-025-07626-0>
5. Chen, X., Zou, D., Cheng, G., Xie, H., & Jong, M. (2023). Blockchain in smart education: Contributors, collaborations, applications and research topics. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11399-5>



6. Chowdhury, S., Budhwar, P., & Wood, G. (2024). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Business: Towards a Strategic Human Resource Management Framework. *British Journal of Management*, 35(4), 1680–1691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12824>
7. Chudovska, V., Komorna, O., & Samoilova, I. (2025). The Role Of Staffing In The Reproduction Of Human Capital Of Construction Industry Enterprises. *Economic Scope*, 200. <https://doi.org/10.30838/ep.200.256-261>
8. Diaz Triana, O. A., Gutierrez Portela, F., Reina, F. A., Catherine Oviedo Barbosa, E., & Higuera, G. R. (2024). Utilization of Data Governance as Support for Quality Assurance in the Systems Engineering Program at the Universidad Cooperativa de Colombia, Ibagué Espinal Campus. *7<sup>th</sup> International Congress On Ambient Intelligence, Software Engineering, And E-Health And Mobile Health, Amitic 2024*, <https://doi.org/10.1109/AmITIC62658.2024.10747646>
9. Donovan, C., & Hautz, H. (2024). Thresholds for dis/trust: exploring the impact of standards-based reforms on the process of being and becoming a VET teacher in England and Austria. *Education and Training*, 66(5). <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-09-2023-0386>
10. Dorfiner, T., Fortsch, C., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2018). Effects Of Three Basic Dimensions of Instructional Quality on Students' Situational Interest in Sixth-Grade Biology Introction. *Learning and Instruction*, 56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2018.03.001>
11. Ekhsan, M., & Saroh, T. (2021). The Role of Organizational Trust on the Influence of Employer Branding on Employee Performance, *International Journal of Management Science and Information Technology*, 1(2), 31–35. <https://doi.org/10.35870/ijmsit.v1i2.353>
12. Emiru, E. K., & Gedefaw, M. T. (2024). The effect of teacher self-efficacy on learning engagement of secondary school students. *Cogent Education*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2308432>
13. Gbadrive, S. W. (2025). Enhancing Financial Transparency and Accountability in Public Education: Lessons from Internal Control Interventions in Developing Countries. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijsrmt.v4i9.795>
14. Guo, J., Li, C., Zhang, G., Sun, Y., & Bie, R. (2020). Blockchain-enabled digital rights management for multimedia resources of online education. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 79(15–16). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-019-08059-1>
15. Hermawan, , & Olayiwola, M. M. (2024). Online-Based Performance Report Service: An Effort to Reduce Teachers' Administrative Workload. *KnE Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i11.15753>
16. Huang, X., Huang, R., & Trouche, L. (2023). Teachers' learning from addressing the challenges of online teaching in a time of pandemic: a case in Shanghai. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 112(1), 103–121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10172-2>
17. Ilham, M., & Majid, B. A. (2023). The Use of Arkas Application: A Discourse on Solutions to Achieve Transparency and Accountability in the Management of School Operational Assistance Funds. *Al-Fikru: Jurnal Ilmiah*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.51672/alfikru.v17i1.183>
18. Kudirat Bukola Adeusi, Temitayo Oluwaseun Jejenywa, & Titilola Olaide Jejenywa. (2024). Advancing financial transparency and ethical governance: innovative cost management and accountability in higher education and industry. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5). <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i5.1099>
19. Lin, Q. W., & Luo, Y. Z. (2023). Physics Teachers' Self-Efficacy, Innovative Teaching And Comprehensive Teaching Approach: Latent Profile Analysis. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 22(4). <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/23.22.668>
20. Liu, Y., Bellibaş, M. Ş., & Gümüş, S. (2021). The Effect of Instructional Leadership and Distributed Leadership on Teacher Self-efficacy and Job Satisfaction: Mediating Roles of Supportive School Culture and Teacher Collaboration. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 49(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143220910438>
21. Mairitsch, A., Babic, S., Mercer, S., Sulis, G., Jin, J., & King, J. (2021). Being a student, becoming a teacher: The wellbeing of pre-service language teachers in Austria and the UK. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103452>
22. Marnita., Safarati, N., Bensaadi, I., & Taufiq, M. (2025). *Blockchain-Based Credentialing for Teachers : A Systematic Literature Review on Transparency and Trust in Educational Management*. 27–34. <https://proceeding.raskhamedia.or.id/index.php/cessmuds/article/view/6>



23. Nadir, & Hamdi Abdul Karim. (2025). Accountability And Transparency In Education Financing Management. *ICMIE Proceedings*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.30983/icmie.v2i1.64>
24. Noorhayati, S. M., & Fahyuni, E. F. (2024). The Role of Leadership in Improving Managerial Accountability at Islamic Higher Education. *Nidhomul Haq : Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.31538/ndh.v9i2.4584>
25. Nuraulia, A., & Hafid, A. (2025). Analysis of Accountability and Effectiveness in the Management of BOS Funds in Meeting School Needs. *Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi Review*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.53697/emba.v5i1.2541>
26. Olateju, O. O., Okon, S. U., Olaniyi, O. O., Samuel-Okon, A. D., & Asonze, C. U. (2024). Exploring the Concept of Explainable AI and Developing Information Governance Standards for Enhancing Trust and Transparency in Handling Customer Data. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 26(7). <https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2024/v26i71206>
27. Ostrom, E. (2003). Toward a behavioral theory linking trust, reciprocity, and reputation. In *Trust and Reciprocity: Interdisciplinary Lessons from Experimental Research* (Vol. 9781610444347)
28. Ouyang, S., & Huang, X. (2022). Education Evaluation Management Based on Blockchain Technology. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7513365>
29. Panda, M., Hossain, M. M., Puri, R., & Ahmad, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence in action: shaping the future of public sector. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-10-2024-0272>
30. Podayan, A., & Charumathi, B. (2025). Corporate social capital disclosure in integrated reports: a structural topic modelling approach. *Future Business Journal*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00627-2>
31. Putra, K. A. U., & Suryanawa, I. K. (2022). The Effect of Accountability and Transparency on Effectiveness of Government School Operational Assistance Funds Management. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.4.1364>
32. Ramdhan, T. wahyudi, Abdurakhmonovich, Y. A., & Yusuf, A. (2025). Influence of Teacher Performance, Competence, and Motivation in Improving the Quality of Education in Islamic Educational Institutions. *Tarbawi : Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.32939/tarbawi.v21i1.4475>
33. Rofiqoh, L. Z., Lusianti, D., Widodo, Khajar, I., Mutamimah, & Yusoff, R. Z. (2025). Impact of Fathonah Transparency on Educational Financing Access. *Munaddhomah*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.31538/munaddhomah.v6i3.1885>
34. Romel, H., Tadesse, T., & Jibat, N. (2021). Teacher quality, self-efficacy, and quality teaching in Ethiopian primary schools: An integrated sociological and psychological perspective. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101029>
35. Sahdan. (2023). Effectiveness Of Accountability And Transparency Of Bos Fund Management Using The Arkas Application. *Managere: Indonesian Journal of Educational Management*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.52627/managere.v5i2.179>
36. Sholeh, M., Jannah, R., Khairunnisa, K., Kholis, N., & Tosson, G. (2021). Human Resource Management In Improving The Quality Of Teachers In Indonesian Islamic Primary Education Institutions. *Al-Bidayah : Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Islam*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.14421/al-bidayah.v13i1.628>
37. Steadman, S., & Ellis, V. (2021). Teaching quality, social mobility and ‘opportunity’ in England: the case of the teaching and leadership innovation fund. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2021.1901078>
38. Urbani, C. (2020). Teacher continuing professional development and team-working competences: A case study from Italy. *International Journal for Research in Vocational Education and Training*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.13152/IJRVET.7.2.6>
39. Verburg, R. M., Nienaber, A. M., Searle, R. H., Weibel, A., Den Hartog, D. N., & Rupp, D. E. (2018). The Role of Organizational Control Systems in Employees’ Organizational Trust and Performance Outcomes. *Group and Organization Management*, 43(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601117725191>
40. Xiang, W., & Rizwan Ullah, M. (2024). Investigating the Impact of Leadership Quality and Educational Practices on Student Outcomes through Teacher Attitude and Behavior in Pakistani Educational Institutions: An Applied Science Perspective. *Engineering, Technology and Applied Science Research*, X 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.48084/etasr.7690>
41. Yang, Y., & Merrill, E. C. (2022). Wayfinding in Children: A Descriptive Literature Review of Research Methods. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 183(6). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.2022.2103789>



- 
42. Zakiy, F. S., Falikhatun, F., & Fauziah, N. N. (2025). Sharia governance and organizational performance in zakat management organization: evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 16(6). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-06-2023-0188>
  43. Zhong, X. (2024). Exploring Teachers' Role Changes in an Informationalized Teaching Environment. *Journal of Education and Educational Research*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.54097/td8kbp35>

---

*Cite this Article: Marnita, Bensaadi, I. (2026). Transparency, Trust, and Teacher Quality: Rethinking Educational Management Through a Governance Lens. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 9(1), pp. 502-510. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V9-i1-64>*