



Understanding the Social Media Rollercoaster: How Resilience and Vulnerability Shape Teen Mental Health

Ajith A¹, Nirmala Chandrasekaran², Yamini Michelle Maran³, Susileka S⁴

¹Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Sri Sivasubramaniya Nadar College of Engineering, Rajiv Gandhi Salai, Kalavakkam, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Ragas Dental College & Hospital, The TN Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

³3rd Year MBBS, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Counselling Psychologist, Nspira, Narayana Group of Schools, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh

ABSTRACT:

Background: Social media is a central part of adolescent life, yet its impact on mental health is highly variable.

Objective: To present a synthesized, three-layer framework explaining how individual traits, social environments, and digital behaviors interact to buffer or exacerbate the relationship between social media use and adolescent mental health.

Methods: We analyzed key findings from recent studies (2020-2025) identified via a systematic PubMed search, focusing on moderators such as personality, coping styles, social support, and digital literacy.

Results: An adolescent's experience is shaped by three key layers: The Inner Self (personal traits and coping), the Social Environment (interpersonal support), and Digital Engagement (online skills and use patterns). Low self-esteem, maladaptive coping, lack of social support, low digital literacy, and younger age are key factors that increase vulnerability to negative outcomes from social media use.

Conclusion: The impact of social media is not uniform but conditional. Effective support requires targeted interventions that build self-esteem and coping skills, foster supportive communication, and teach critical digital literacy. This multi-layered approach can help teens navigate the digital world with greater resilience.

KEYWORDS: Adolescents, digital literacy, mental health, moderators, parental support and social media.

I. INTRODUCTION

Social media use is highly prevalent among adolescents, with platforms frequently used for communication, social interaction, and accessing information(1,2). This widespread use has led to significant research and public concern regarding its potential impact on adolescent mental health, with numerous studies reporting associations with increased symptoms of anxiety, depression, and loneliness(3).

However, research findings are not uniform. While some adolescents experience negative mental health outcomes linked to their social media use, others with similar usage patterns do not, and some report benefits from online social support and connectivity(4). This inconsistency highlights a key question: what factors determine whether an adolescent is vulnerable or resilient to potential mental health risks associated with social media use?

The answer involves moderating factors—individual and environmental characteristics that can weaken (buffer) or strengthen (exacerbate) the relationship between social media engagement and psychological outcomes. The focus is not solely on time spent online, but on the user's psychological traits, their social context, and their specific online behaviors.

This review synthesizes recent evidence (2020-2025) to propose a clear framework for understanding these conditional effects. We organize the key moderating factors into three interconnected levels: the Individual Self (personal traits and coping), the Social Environment (interpersonal support), and Digital Engagement (online skills and use patterns). This framework aims to move beyond generalized statements and provide a structured understanding for identifying at-risk youth and informing targeted interventions.

Our framework is grounded in established developmental and social-psychological theories. Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory(5) posits that adolescent development results from interactions between the individual and multiple environmental systems.



This aligns with our multi-layered approach, emphasizing that social media's impact is conditional on interactions between the Individual Self, Social Environment, and Digital Engagement.

Social Comparison Theory(6) helps explain how social media exacerbates self-evaluation processes. The curated nature of online content fosters upward social comparisons, which can negatively affect self-esteem and emotional well-being, especially among vulnerable adolescents (7). Together, these theories provide a foundation for understanding the conditional and interactive nature of social media's effects on adolescent mental health.

II. A THREE-LAYER FRAMEWORK FOR UNDERSTANDING

To understand the conditional impact of social media, imagine three concentric layers of influence surrounding an adolescent. The innermost layer is the core Individual Self they bring to the platform. This is embedded within the Social Circle of their immediate environment. Both interact with the outermost layer of the Digital World itself. Strengths or weaknesses in any layer can fundamentally alter the online experience.

A. Layer 1: The Inner Self (Individual Traits and Coping)

The psychological makeup a teen brings online acts as the primary filter for their social media experience.

- 1) **Self-Esteem as the Foundation:** A robust sense of self-worth is a critical buffer. Studies consistently show that adolescents with lower self-esteem are more susceptible to negative outcomes from social media use(8,9). For them, platforms can become theaters of "upward social comparison," where curated highlights of peers' lives foster feelings of inadequacy, loneliness, and depressed mood(10). This process turns social media from a neutral tool into an active "self-esteem threat."
- 2) **Personality's Powerful Role:** Broader personality dimensions significantly moderate effects. Research by Edler et al. (2022) provides compelling evidence (11). In their study of young adults, they found that the association between media use and depressive symptoms depended on personality. For instance, neuroticism (a tendency toward emotional instability) amplified the negative association between sedentary behavior and depressive symptoms. Conversely, conscientiousness buffered the positive link between TV use and depressive symptoms, likely because conscientious individuals maintain life responsibilities even with screen time. This demonstrates that personality shapes not just if one uses media, but how one is affected by it.
- 3) **Coping Style: The Stress Spillover:** Perhaps the most illustrative evidence comes from cluster analysis research. Adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic, were identified with distinct stress and coping profiles(12). This cluster reported dramatically higher rates of mental health problems (e.g., suicidal ideation) and behavioral issues like excessive social media and internet gaming. This powerfully shows that a teen's general approach to handling life stress, their coping style, spills directly into their digital life. Adolescents who rely on maladaptive coping are far more vulnerable to problematic use and negative psychological outcomes online(13).

B. Layer 2: The Safety Net (social environment and support)

The support system surrounding a teen, which can include online networks and resources, can absorb some of the shocks of negative online experiences or, in its absence, amplify them(14).

- 1) **Parental Support: A General Buffer, not a Specific Shield:** Parental involvement is widely acknowledged as crucial for monitoring digital activity, setting healthy boundaries, and providing emotional guidance, which collectively help buffer adolescents against the potential negative impacts of social media(15). Adolescents with rare parental support have significantly higher odds of psychological distress(16). This suggests that strong parental support is a broad protective factor for adolescent mental health, creating a more resilient individual (Layer 1), rather than a specific antidote to social media toxicity. Its absence, however, is a clear marker of vulnerability(17).
- 2) **The Role of Peers and School:** Beyond parents, a supportive peer network and a positive school climate can provide alternative sources of validation and belonging, potentially reducing dependence on social media for social needs and buffering against the effects of online negativity like cyberbullying(18).

C. Layer 3: The Digital Interface (Skills and Behaviors)

This level focuses on teens' skills and behaviors when using social media.

- 1) **Digital Literacy: The Essential Toolkit:** Digital literacy involves knowing how to use an app; it involves the critical skills to navigate the online world safely and skeptically. Digital literacy involves more than just knowing how to use an app; it



includes the critical skills needed to navigate the online world safely. This includes understanding privacy settings, recognizing misinformation, and analyzing influencer content critically(19). In social media culture, where idealized lives are presented, digital literacy helps teens recognize these images as curated performances, not realistic standards for comparison(20). A lack of these skills is a significant vulnerability.

- 2) **Problematic Use Patterns:** Certain engagement patterns are not just outcomes but active exacerbators. Research highlights "addictive" social media use, characterized by loss of control, preoccupation, and use despite negative consequences(21,22). This pattern creates a vicious cycle. Negative mood may drive compulsive use, which leads to sleep deprivation, social neglect, and exposure to more harmful content, thereby worsening the initial mood. This disordered use pattern itself becomes a powerful risk factor, trapping teens in a negative feedback loop(23).
- 3) **The Vulnerability of Younger Adolescents:** Younger adolescents are particularly at risk for experiencing negative mental health effects from social media use. Research shows that the negative link between heavy social media use and psychological distress is stronger in early adolescence (ages 11–14) than in later teen years(24). Developmentally, this stage involves greater brain sensitivity, social awareness, and identity exploration, which can make younger teens more vulnerable to harmful social comparisons, peer influence, and the engaging design of social platforms(25).

III. DISCUSSION

Integrating the three-layer model reveals that vulnerability to negative social media outcomes is rarely due to a single factor. The adolescent most at risk is one caught in a confluence of risk factors across all layers.

A. The highest-risk Profile includes:

- 1) **Individual Risks (Layer 1):** Low self-esteem (26), neurotic tendencies (27), and reliance on maladaptive coping strategies like self-blame(28).
- 2) **Social Risks (Layer 2):** Minimal parental support and weak peer connections (29).
- 3) **Behavioral Risks (Layer 3):** Poor digital literacy and engagement in compulsive, problematic use patterns(30).

This profile is particularly prevalent among younger adolescents(31), whose developmental stage makes them more susceptible to these compounding risks. Each layer amplifies the others: a teen with low self-esteem (Layer 1) is more likely to seek validation online (Layer 3), and without parental guidance (Layer 2), they lack the support to navigate this safely.

B. The Protective

Role of Strengths:

Conversely, strengths in any single layer can provide significant protection, even when other risk factors exist. For example:

- 1) **Individual Strengths:** High conscientiousness can help a teen maintain balance and responsibility despite heavy social media use [9].
- 2) **Social Strengths:** Strong, open family communication can provide emotional support that buffers against negative online experiences [11].
- 3) **Digital Strengths:** Savvy media literacy empowers a teen to critically evaluate online content, reducing the impact of harmful comparisons [13].

This highlights that interventions do not need to address all weaknesses simultaneously; building resilience in any one domain can mitigate overall risk.

IV. IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

This framework has clear implications for moving from concern to effective action:

- A) **For Research:** Future studies must adopt designs that measure factors across all three layers simultaneously. Longitudinal research is needed to untangle the directionality of these complex relationships (e.g., does low self-esteem lead to problematic use, or vice versa?).

B) **For Practice and Policy:**

1) **Strengthening the Individual Self (Layer 1): Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) Programs**
Universal school-based programs should integrate **social-emotional learning (SEL)** that builds self-esteem, emotional regulation, and adaptive coping skills (e.g., problem-solving, seeking help). These are foundational resilience skills for



both offline and online life. SEL programs help adolescents understand and manage emotions, develop healthy coping strategies, and build resilience. Evidence indicates that SEL programs are effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety and depression while promoting positive mental health outcomes among adolescents(32,33). By enhancing these individual-level psychological skills, SEL programs reduce adolescents' vulnerability to the negative mental health effects associated with social media use.

2) Strengthening the Social Environment (Layer 2): Family-Based Preventive Interventions
Initiatives should move beyond telling parents to "restrict screen time." Family-based preventive programs focus on strengthening parent-adolescent relationships through structured skill-building sessions that enhance communication, emotional support, and problem-solving within the family. Parents should be educated on having open, non-judgmental conversations about online experiences and healthy digital habits. Evidence suggests that parenting-focused preventive interventions have long-term protective effects on adolescent mental health and resilience (34). An offline environment where teens feel connected and valued is essential for buffering against negative online experiences.

3) Upgrading the Digital Interface (Layer 3): Comprehensive Digital Literacy Education
Comprehensive digital literacy education must be a mandatory, age-appropriate part of the core curriculum. This should go beyond "cyber-safety" to include critical analysis of algorithms, advertising, and influencer marketing, empowering teens to be critical users rather than passive consumers.

V. LIMITATIONS

The present review and the cited evidence base are subject to several limitations. First, the majority of studies rely on self-report data and cross-sectional designs, which restricts causal inference and may be affected by recall or social desirability biases. Second, research has predominantly been conducted in Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD) contexts, limiting the generalizability of findings. More diverse, global samples are needed to understand cultural, socioeconomic, and contextual nuances in these relationships. Finally, because most research does not measure factors from all three layers at once, our understanding of how they interact remains limited, and the framework may represent a simplification of more complex, real-world dynamics.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, the relationship between social media use and adolescent mental health is not uniform but is significantly moderated by individual and environmental factors. Evidence indicates that vulnerability is heightened by pre-existing traits such as low self-esteem and maladaptive coping, a lack of supportive social relationships, and deficient digital literacy skills. Conversely, resilience is fostered by psychological strengths, strong parental and peer support, and critical engagement with digital content. Effective public health and educational strategies should move beyond generalized warnings and instead focus on building multi-layered resilience. This involves integrating social-emotional and digital literacy education into curricula, promoting supportive parenting practices that guide online engagement, and empowering adolescents to become critical, self-regulated users of social media.

REFERENCES

1. Nagata JM, Memon Z, Talebloo J, Li K, Low P, Shao IY, et al. Prevalence and Patterns of Social Media Use in Early Adolescents. *Physiology*. 2025.
2. You YY, Yang-Huang J, Raat H, van Grieken A. Factors of heavy social media use among 13-year-old adolescents on weekdays and weekends. *World Journal of Pediatrics*. 2023 Apr 1;19(4):378–89.
3. Mojtabei R. Problematic social media use and psychological symptoms in adolescents. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2024 Dec 1;59(12):2271–8.
4. Wong SL, King N, Gariépy G, Michaelson V, Canie O, King M, et al. Adolescent social media use and its association with relationships and connections: Canadian Health Behaviour in School-aged Children, 2017/2018. *Health Rep*. 2022 Dec 1;33(12):14–23.
5. Bronfenbrenner U. Ecological Models of Human Development. *International Encyclopedia of Education*. 1994;3:37–43.
6. Festinger L. A Theory of Social Comparison Processes. *Human Relations*. 1954;7(2):117–40.



7. Vogel EA, Rose JP, Roberts LR, Eckles K. Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychol Pop Media Cult*. 2014 Oct;3(4):206–22.
8. Pop LM, Iorga M, Iurcov R. Body-Esteem, Self-Esteem and Loneliness among Social Media Young Users. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 May 1;19(9).
9. Calandri E, Graziano F, Cattelino E, Testa S. Depressive Symptoms and Loneliness in Early Adolescence: The Role of Empathy and Emotional Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Early Adolescence*. 2021 Mar 1;41(3):369–93.
10. Sun S, Chen S, Wang Z, Xiong Y, Xie S. Social Networking Site Use and Emotional Eating Behaviors among Chinese Adolescents: The Effects of Negative Social Comparisons and Perspective-Taking. *Behavioral Sciences*. 2023 Sep 1;13(9).
11. Edler JS, Manz K, Rojas-Perilla N, Baumeister H, Cohrdes C. The role of personality traits and social support in relations of health-related behaviours and depressive symptoms. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2022 Dec 1;22(1).
12. She R, Wong K, Lin J, Zhang Y, Leung K, Yang X. Profiles of Stress and Coping Associated With Mental, Behavioral, and Internet Use Problems Among Adolescents During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Stratified Random Sampling and Cluster Analysis. *Front Public Health*. 2022 Mar 29;10.
13. McNicol ML, Thorsteinsson EB. Internet Addiction, Psychological Distress, and Coping Responses among Adolescents and Adults. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2017 May 1;20(5):296–304.
14. Modecki KL, Duvenage M, Uink B, Barber BL, Donovan CL. Adolescents' Online Coping: When Less Is More but None Is Worse. *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2022 May 1;10(3):467–81.
15. Banić L, Orehovalčki T. A Comparison of Parenting Strategies in a Digital Environment: A Systematic Literature Review. Vol. 8, *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI); 2024.
16. Robinson-Surratt S, Dawkins E, Waugh C, Ferrer R. Understanding Single Parents' experiences monitoring their teens social media use. Capella University; 2025.
17. Pechmann C, Catlin JR, Zheng Y. Facilitating Adolescent Well-Being: A Review of the Challenges and Opportunities and the Beneficial Roles of Parents, Schools, Neighborhoods, and Policymakers. Vol. 30, *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2020. p. 149–77.
18. Borraccino A, Marengo N, Dalmasso P, Marino C, Ciardullo S, Nardone P, et al. Problematic Social Media Use and Cyber Aggression in Italian Adolescents: The Remarkable Role of Social Support. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Aug 1;19(15).
19. Prakash O. Is it time for India to set social media age limits for adolescents? Vol. 67, *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*. Wolters Kluwer Medknow Publications; 2025. p. 267–73.
20. Cortesi S, Hasse A, Lombana A, Kim S, Gasser U. Youth and Digital Citizenship+ (Plus): Understanding Skills for a Digital World [Internet]. 2020. Available from: <https://cyber.harvard.edu/publication/2020/youth-and-digital-citizenship-plus>
21. Afe T, Ogunsemi O, Ayotunde A, Olufunke A, Osalusi B, Afe B. Psychometric Properties and Validation of the 9-Item Social Media Scale Among Pre-University Students in Nigeria. *East Asian Archives of Psychiatry*. 2020 Dec 22;30(4):108–12.
22. Wartberg L, Kammerl R. Empirical relationships between problematic alcohol use and a problematic use of video games, social media and the internet and their associations to mental health in adolescence. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020 Sep 1;17(17):1–11.
23. Dresch-Langley B, Hutt A. Digital Addiction and Sleep. Vol. 19, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. MDPI; 2022.
24. Mougharbel F, Chaput JP, Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Hamilton HA, Colman I, Leatherdale ST, et al. Heavy social media use and psychological distress among adolescents: the moderating role of sex, age, and parental support. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11.
25. Charamaman L, Richer AM, Liu C, Lynch AD, Moreno MA. Early Adolescent Social Media-Related Body Dissatisfaction: Associations with Depressive Symptoms, Social Anxiety, Peers, and Celebrities. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*. 2021 Jun 1;42(5):401–7.



26. Yildirim MS, Sevincer GM, Kandeger A, Afacan C. Investigation of the relationship between risk of internet addiction, food addiction, and self-esteem in high school students. *Dusunen Adam - The Journal of Psychiatry and Neurological Sciences*. 2018;31(2):187–94.
27. Marengo D, Poletti I, Settanni M. The interplay between neuroticism, extraversion, and social media addiction in young adult Facebook users: Testing the mediating role of online activity using objective data. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2020 Mar 1;102.
28. Chen J, Yu Z, Yu Z, Ni R, Zhou J, Qu J. The effects of social media addiction on college students' psychological anxiety: the mediating role of self-efficacy and coping styles. *Front Psychol*. 2025;16.
29. Lou C, Kim HK. Fancying the New Rich and Famous? Explicating the Roles of Influencer Content, Credibility, and Parental Mediation in Adolescents' Parasocial Relationship, Materialism, and Purchase Intentions. *Front Psychol*. 2019 Nov 15;10.
30. Johanna Helsper E, Smahel D, Helsper EJ. Excessive Internet Use: Psychological Vulnerability and Digital Literacy 2 Excessive Internet Use by Young Europeans: Psychological Vulnerability and Digital Literacy?
31. Nagata JM, Trompeter N, Singh G, Ganson KT, Testa A, Jackson DB, et al. Social Epidemiology of Early Adolescent Cyberbullying in the United States. *Acad Pediatr*. 2022 Nov 1;22(8):1287–93.
32. Durlak JA, Weissberg RP, Dymnicki AB, Taylor RD, Schellinger KB. The Impact of Enhancing Students' Social and Emotional Learning: A Meta-Analysis of School-Based Universal Interventions. *Child Dev*. 2011 Jan;82(1):405–32.
33. Taylor RD, Oberle E, Durlak JA, Weissberg RP. Promoting Positive Youth Development Through School-Based Social and Emotional Learning Interventions: A Meta-Analysis of Follow-Up Effects. *Child Dev*. 2017 Jul 1;88(4):1156–71.
34. Sandler I, Ingram A, Wolchik S, Tein JY, Winslow E. Long-Term Effects of Parenting-Focused Preventive Interventions to Promote Resilience of Children and Adolescents. *Child Dev Perspect*. 2015 Sep 1;9(3):164–71.

Cite this Article: Ajith A, Chandrasekaran, N., Maran, Y.M., Susileka S. (2026). Understanding the Social Media Rollercoaster: How Resilience and Vulnerability Shape Teen Mental Health. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 9(1), pp. 74-79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V9-i1-10>