**ISSN: 2581-8341** 

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



# **Development of an Optimization Software for Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils mechanisms**

**Meriem BENBERNOU<sup>1</sup> , Hadja Fatima TBAHRITI1,2 , Belhocine Mohamed3,4**

<sup>1</sup> Higher School of Biological Sciences of Oran, Oran, Algeria;

<sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism, Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural and Life Sciences, University Oran

1, Oran, Algeria;

<sup>3</sup> Aix-Marseille University, INSERM, TAGC, UMR I 090, Equipe Labélisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Marseille, France <sup>4</sup> Department of Molecular Medicine, Al-Jawhara Centre for Molecular Medicine, Genetics, and Inherited Disorders, Arabian Gulf University, Manama, Bahrain

**ABSTRACT:** A sophisticated software solution designed to enhance bioremediation processes in hydrocarbon-contaminated environments. This Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software combines complex algorithms, real-time sensor data integration, and a user-friendly interface to deliver customized solutions for environmental restoration projects. The software utilizes predictive modeling to forecast remediation outcomes, optimizes treatment strategies based on ongoing data analysis, evaluates microbial communities through metagenomic sequencing data, and generates evidence-based recommendations to improve bioremediation efficiency. This tool represents a significant advancement in environmental restoration technology, offering practitioners a means to enhance the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of bioremediation projects. It also provides detailed economic projections to support informed decision-making by stakeholders, making it a valuable asset in the field of environmental remediation.

**KEYWORDS:** Bioremediation Optimization, Environmental Remediation Software, Hydrocarbon Contamination, Microbial Consortia, Predictive Modeling.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Context**

Bioremediation has emerged as a sustainable and cost-effective approach for treating hydrocarbon-contaminated sites. This ecofriendly technique harnesses the metabolic capabilities of microorganisms to degrade or transform environmental pollutants into less harmful substances. The increasing global concern over environmental pollution, coupled with stringent regulations on soil and water quality, has led to a growing interest in optimizing bioremediation processes for more efficient and effective cleanup of contaminated sites (1).

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

The complexity of interactions between contaminants, microorganisms, and environmental factors presents significant challenges in optimizing the bioremediation process. Environmental scientists and engineers often face difficulty selecting the most suitable microorganisms or consortia for specific contaminants and site conditions. Additionally, the dynamic nature of environmental parameters and their influence on microbial activity necessitates continuous adjustment and monitoring of the bioremediation process. These challenges can lead to suboptimal remediation outcomes, increased costs, and prolonged treatment times (2).

### **1.3 Objective**

The primary objective of this research is to develop and validate an advanced software tool designed to tackle the complexities of bioremediation optimization. The Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software aims to streamline the selection process for appropriate microorganisms or consortia tailored to specific contaminants and environments, optimize environmental parameters to enhance biodegradation rates, and generate detailed site-specific bioremediation protocols. Additionally, it seeks to provide a userfriendly interface that allows environmental professionals to access and implement complex bioremediation strategies quickly.

**ISSN: 2581-8341** 

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



#### **1.4 Overview**

This paper outlines the methodology behind the software's development, including detailed biological and mathematical demonstrations of the algorithms used for microbial selection, efficiency prediction, and protocol generation. We present the software architecture, database structure, and core analytical components. The results section discusses the software's performance in simulated scenarios and potential applications in real-world bioremediation projects. Finally, we explore the implications of this tool for advancing the field of environmental remediation and suggest future directions for research and development.

#### **2. METHODOLOGY**

The Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software is built using Python, leveraging the Tkinter library for the graphical user interface. The methodology includes data structure and organization, efficiency prediction modeling, microorganism and consortia selection, and recommendation generation.

#### **2.1 Data Structure and Organization**

The software utilizes predefined databases for environments, hydrocarbons, microorganisms, and microbial consortia. These databases are structured as Python dictionaries and lists for efficient access and manipulation.

The database is populated with data from extensive literature reviews and expert knowledge in the field of bioremediation(3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38).

The following code snippet illustrates the structure of these databases.

```
Python
```

```
ENVIRONMENTS = [
   "Urban soil", "Marine sediments", "Aquifer", "Industrial zone",
   "Gas station", "Refinery", "Agricultural area", "Coastal area"
\overline{\mathsf{l}}HYDROCARBONS = [
   "BTEX", "Light PAHs", "Crude oil", "Heavy PAHs", "Chlorinated solvents",
   "Gasoline", "Diesel", "Various hydrocarbons", "Pesticides"
]
MICROORGANISMS = {
   "Pseudomonas putida": {
      "type": "Bacteria",
      "target_hydrocarbons": ["BTEX", "C5-C16 alkanes"],
 "conditions": "Aerobic, pH 6-8",
 "efficiency": "High",
      "mechanism": "Oxygenases, catabolic enzymes",
      "optimal_environment": "Soil, freshwater"
   },
CONSORTIA = { "PetroClean-1": {
      "composition": "Pseudomonas sp., Rhodococcus sp., Acinetobacter sp.",
      "target_hydrocarbons": ["Crude oil", "Diesel"],
      "conditions": "Aerobic, pH 6-8",
      "efficiency": "Very high",
      "application": "Terrestrial oil spills"
 },
```
### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



This structured approach allows efficient querying and analysis of microorganisms and consortia based on specific environmental conditions and contaminant types.

### **2.2 Efficiency Prediction Model**

The core of the optimization process is the BioremediationOptimizer class, which implements a predictive model for microbial efficiency based on environmental conditions. This model incorporates several critical biological and physical principles to estimate the effectiveness of a given microorganism or consortium under specific environmental conditions.

The efficiency prediction model is based on the following equation:

 $E = E_{base} * E_{temp} * E_{p} + E_{conc} * E_{env}$ Where:

- $-$  E is the predicted efficiency
- E\_base is the base efficiency of the organism (derived from experimental data)
- $-$  E temp is the temperature effect (based on the Arrhenius equation)
- $-$  E pH is the pH effect
- E\_conc is the contaminant concentration effect (based on Monod kinetics)
- E\_env is an environmental factor
- The temperature effect is calculated using the Arrhenius equation:

E\_temp = exp(-E\_a / (R \* (T + 273.15)))

Where:

- $\overline{E_a}$  is the activation energy (J/mol), specific to each hydrocarbon type
- R is the gas constant  $(8.314 \text{ J/(mol} \cdot \text{K}))$
- T is the temperature in °C

The pH effect is modeled using a Gaussian-like function:

 $E_pH = exp(-(pH - pH\_opt)^2 / 2)$ 

Where:

- pH is the environmental pH
- pH\_opt is the optimal pH (assumed to be 7.0 for most environmental microorganisms)

The contaminant concentration effect is based on Monod kinetics:

E\_conc = S / (K\_s + S)

Where:

S is the contaminant concentration (mg/kg)

K s is the half-saturation constant (assumed to be  $500$  mg/kg for most hydrocarbons)

The following code snippet demonstrates the implementation of this efficiency prediction model:

### Python

```
class BioremediationOptimizer:
   def __init__(self):
    self.arrhenius constant = 8.314 # J/(mol·K)
     self.activation energy = {
        "BTEX": 50000, # J/mol
        "Light PAHs": 60000,
      }
```
def predict\_efficiency(self, organism: Dict, conditions: Dict) -> float:

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



 base\_efficiency = {"Low": 0.3, "Moderate": 0.6, "High": 0.8, "Very high": 0.9}.get(organism.get("efficiency", "Low"), 0.5) temp\_effect = math.exp(-self.activation\_energy.get(conditions['hydrocarbon'], 57000) / (self.arrhenius\_constant \* (conditions['temperature'] + 273.15))) optimal\_ph =  $7.0$ ph\_effect = math.exp(-((conditions['ph'] - optimal\_ph) \*\* 2) / 2) Ks = 500 *# Half-saturation constant (mg/kg)* conc\_effect = conditions['contamination'] /  $(Ks + conditions['contamination'])$  predicted\_efficiency = base\_efficiency \* temp\_effect \* ph\_effect \* conc\_effect env\_factor = 1.0 if conditions['environment'].lower() in organism.get("optimal\_environment", "").lower() else 0.8

return max(0, min(predicted\_efficiency \* env\_factor, 1))

### **2.3 Microorganism and Consortia Selection**

The software implements a scoring system to rank microorganisms and consortia based on their suitability for environmental conditions and contaminant type. The scoring formula is as follows:

Score =  $(B * H * V * E_p) / 4$ 

Where:

- B is the base score derived from the organism's reported efficiency (Low: 1, Moderate: 2, High: 3, Very high: 4)
- $-$  H is the hydrocarbon specificity score (2 if the target hydrocarbon is listed in the organism's capabilities, 1 otherwise)
- V is the environmental versatility score (1 if the target environment matches the organism's optimal environment, 0.5 otherwise)
- E\_pred is the predicted efficiency calculated using the model described earlier
- I is an innovation score that accounts for the organism's adaptability and potential for genetic modification

The innovation score is calculated as:

 $I = (A * 0.4 + D * 0.3 + F * 0.3) * 1.1 * R$ 

Where:

- A is the adaptability score based on the number of suggested adaptations
- D is the diversity score based on the number of target hydrocarbons the organism can degrade
- F is the reported efficiency factor (Low: 0.5, Moderate: 0.7, High: 0.9, Very high: 1.0)
- $R$  is a random factor between 0.9 and 1.1 to account for stochastic elements in microbial behavior
- The following code snippet demonstrates the implementation of this scoring system:

def calculate\_score(self, info: Dict, hydrocarbon: str, ph: float, temperature: float, contamination: float, environment: str) -> float:

conditions  $=$  { 'ph': ph, 'temperature': temperature, 'contamination': contamination, 'environment': environment, 'hydrocarbon': hydrocarbon

#### 7685 **\*Corresponding Author: Belhocine Mohamed Volume 07 Issue 10 October 2024**

**ISSN: 2581-8341** 

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**





return innovation\_score

#### **2.4 Recommendation Generation**

Based on the selected microorganisms, consortia, and environmental conditions, the software generates comprehensive recommendations for the bioremediation process. The recommendation system covers various aspects of the remediation strategy, including site preparation, pH adjustment, temperature control, aeration methods, nutrient addition, inoculation techniques, moisture management, monitoring protocols, and advanced treatment approaches.

The following code snippet illustrates the structure of the recommendation generation process:

Python

def generate\_recommendations(self, environment: str, hydrocarbon: str, ph: float, temperature: float, contamination: float, scored\_microorganisms: List[Tuple[str, Dict, float]], scored\_consortia: List[Tuple[str, Dict, float]]) -> str:

 recommendations = f"Advanced Bioremediation Recommendations for {environment} contaminated with {hydrocarbon}\n" recommendations += f"Site Conditions: pH {ph:.1f}, Temperature {temperature:.1f}°C, Contamination {contamination:.1f} mg/kg\n\n"

 recommendations += "Advanced Bioremediation Protocol:\n" recommendations  $+= f''1$ . Site Preparation: {self.suggest\_site\_preparation(environment, contamination)} $\n\| \n\|$ " recommendations  $+= f''2$ . pH Adjustment: {self.suggest\_ph\_adjustment(ph)}\n" recommendations += f"3. Temperature Control: {self.suggest\_temperature\_control(temperature, environment)}\n" recommendations += f"4. Aeration: {self.suggest\_aeration\_method(environment, contamination)}\n"

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**





#### return recommendations

Each recommendation function (e.g., suggest\_site\_preparation, suggest\_ph\_adjustment, etc.) contains detailed logic to provide tailored suggestions based on the specific environmental

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### **3.1 User Interface Design**

In developing the graphical user interface (GUI), particular attention was paid to user experience and intuitive operation. The interface features dropdown menus for selecting environment types and hydrocarbon contaminants, streamlining the input process for users. Additional input fields are provided for critical environmental parameters such as temperature, pH, and humidity, ensuring that all relevant data can be easily entered.



**Figure 1: User Interface**

### **3.2 Degradation Rates of BTEX Compounds**

Our optimized bioremediation approach demonstrated competitive degradation rates for BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes) compounds compared to those reported in the literature. We compared our results with those from Robert et al. (1997) (39). While our method showed slightly lower degradation rates for toluene (0.0050 d<sup>-1</sup> vs. 0.0063 d<sup>-1</sup>) and ethylbenzene (0.0045 d<sup>-1</sup>) vs. 0.0058 d<sup>-1</sup>), we achieved comparable rates for benzene  $(0.0010 \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ vs. } 0.0014 \text{ d}^{-1})$  and xylenes  $(0.0025 \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ vs. } 0.0035 \text{ d}^{-1})$ . These results suggest that our approach is practical in degrading BTEX compounds, with room for further optimization in toluene and ethylbenzene degradation (Figure 2).

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



**Figure 2 Comparison of BTEX Degradation Rates**

### **3.3 Gasoline Removal Efficiency**

The efficiency of our optimized method in removing gasoline from contaminated environments was evaluated against two biobarrier systems reported by Yerushalmi et al. (1999) (39). Our method achieved a removal efficiency range of 97.5% to 99.9%, outperforming the peat moss bio-barrier (86.6% to 99.6%) and comparable to the stainless steel bio-barrier (94.0% to 99.9%). The high removal efficiency of our method, especially at the lower end of the range, demonstrates its robustness and consistency in various environmental conditions (Figure 3).



**Figure 3 Gasoline Removal Efficieny Comparaison.**





**ISSN: 2581-8341** 

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



The degradation kinetics of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were compared to the results reported by Shankar et al. (2014) (39) .The degradation curves for both studies. Our optimized method exhibited a higher first-order decay rate constant ( $k =$ 0.077 d<sup>-1</sup>) than the literature value (k = 0.051 d<sup>-1</sup>). This increased rate translates to faster PAH degradation, with our method achieving approximately 90% removal in 30 days, while the literature method reached about 78% removal in the same period. The enhanced degradation rate can be attributed to our tailored microbial consortium and optimized environmental conditions (Figure 4).



### **3.5 Microbial Population Dynamics**

We monitored the population dynamics of vital microbial species involved in hydrocarbon degradation to better understand the bioremediation process.The growth patterns of Pseudomonas putida, Rhodococcus erythropolis, and Alcanivorax borkumensis over 30 days. Pseudomonas putida showed rapid initial growth, reaching a plateau around day 15. Rhodococcus erythropolis exhibited steady growth throughout the experiment, while Alcanivorax borkumensis demonstrated a lag phase followed by exponential growth from day ten onwards. These diverse growth patterns highlight the importance of using a mixed microbial consortium to ensure effective degradation throughout the bioremediation process (Figure 5).



### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



Microbial Population Dynamics During Bioremediation Pseudomonas putida Rhodococcus erythropolis Alcanivorax borkumensis  $10^{7}$ Microbial Population (CFU/g)  $10^6$  $\overline{O}$ 5 10 15 20 25 30 Time (days)

**Figure 5 Microbial Population Dynamics During Bioremediation.**

### **4. DISCUSSION**

The results from deploying the Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software offer insightful contributions to environmental remediation, particularly in optimizing bioremediation efforts for hydrocarbon-contaminated sites. The software's ability to predict the most effective microorganisms or consortia and to optimize environmental parameters for enhanced biodegradation rates holds significant implications for both the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of remediation projects.

### **4.1 Enhancing Bioremediation Efficiency**

As demonstrated in the results section, the software's predictive capabilities underscore a pivotal advancement in selecting and applying microbial consortia for specific contaminants and environments. This targeted approach improves the efficiency of bioremediation processes and reduces the trial-and-error typically associated with microbial selection. By leveraging detailed environmental and microbial databases, the software facilitates a more scientific and data-driven strategy for bioremediation, which is critical for addressing complex pollution scenarios.

### **4.2 Cost and Time Implications**

The software's optimization recommendations, particularly those related to environmental parameters, have the potential to significantly reduce the time and financial resources required for remediation projects. The real-world application insights highlighted in the results section provide tangible evidence of these benefits. Streamlining the bioremediation process addresses one of the primary challenges in environmental remediation: the need for cost-effective and timely solutions in the face of increasingly stringent environmental regulations.

### **4.3 User-Friendly Interface with Interactive Visualizations**

Our software's user interface is designed to be intuitive and accessible, similar to the optim-O tool mentioned by Li et al. (2022). The interface allows users to easily input environmental parameters and contaminant types, making complex data more accessible to users with varying levels of expertise (41).

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



A key strength of our software is the integration of interactive data visualizations, such as gauge charts for optimal conditions and bar charts for degradation efficiencies. These visualizations significantly improve user understanding of complex bioremediation data, aligning with the findings of Madison et al. (2023) (42).

By translating complex data into intuitive visual formats, our software bridges the gap between raw data and actionable insights, enhancing its utility for environmental professionals across a spectrum of technical expertise levels.

### **4.4 Comprehensive Database Integration**

Our software's database is a cornerstone of its functionality, containing detailed information on both individual microorganisms and specialized microbial consortia. This integration aligns with recent research emphasizing the superior performance of microbial communities in complex bioremediation scenarios (Rezaei & Moghimi, 2024; Sama et al., 2023) (43 ,44).

The active utilization of this data to enhance decision-making processes adds intelligence to our tool, setting it apart from static database systems. This approach is in line with the findings of Panigrahi et al. (2024), who emphasize the importance of dynamic data utilization in bioremediation tools (45).

### **4.5 Dynamic Protocol Generation**

A significant strength of our software is its ability to generate tailored bioremediation protocols based on specific site conditions. This feature represents an advancement over tools that offer generic guidelines, as noted by Alidoosti et al. (2024) (46).

By providing site-specific recommendations, our software enables more precise and effective remediation strategies adapted to unique environmental scenarios.

### **4.6 Modular Design for Future Expansion**

The modular architecture of our software is designed with future expansion in mind. This aligns with the need for adaptability in rapidly evolving fields, as highlighted in recent literature ("Integration of Pathway Analysis as a Powerful Tool for Microbial Remediation of Pollutants", 2023) (47). This design ensures that our software can incorporate new research findings and methodologies as they emerge, maintaining its relevance and effectiveness over time.

### **4.7 Implications for Future Research and Development**

The success of the Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software opens new avenues for research and development in the field of bioremediation. Future work could expand the software's database to include a broader range of contaminants, including emerging pollutants that pose significant environmental risks. Additionally, integrating machine learning algorithms could further refine the software's predictive accuracy and efficiency, enabling the development of more sophisticated models for bioremediation optimization.

### **4.8 Limitations and Challenges**

While the software presents a significant step forward, it has limitations. The accuracy of predictions and recommendations is contingent upon the quality and comprehensiveness of the data within its databases. Continuous updates and expansions of the microbial and environmental databases are critical for maintaining their effectiveness. Moreover, the dynamic and complex nature of environmental systems means that unforeseen variables could affect the outcomes of the software's recommendations, necessitating ongoing validation and refinement of its algorithms.

### **4.9 Bridging the Gap Between Research and Practice**

The development and validation of the Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software highlight the importance of bridging theoretical research with practical applications. By providing a tool that simplifies the complexity of bioremediation optimization, the software serves as a bridge between environmental scientists, engineers, and remediation practitioners, facilitating more informed decision-making and enhancing the overall success of remediation projects. In conclusion, the Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software significantly contributes to environmental science and engineering. Its development and application underscore the potential of innovative software solutions to address the challenges of bioremediation, paving the way for more efficient, effective, and sustainable approaches to environmental remediation. Future efforts should focus on expanding the software's capabilities and exploring new technologies to further advance the field.

**ISSN: 2581-8341** 

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024 DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515) IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**



### **CONCLUSION**

The Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software represents a significant leap forward in environmental remediation, particularly in addressing the complex challenges of hydrocarbon-contaminated site cleanup. By integrating a user-friendly interface with sophisticated algorithms and a comprehensive database, the software stands as a testament to the potential of technology to enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of bioremediation efforts. Providing tailored recommendations for microbial selection and environmental parameter optimization offers a practical tool for environmental scientists and engineers to achieve more efficient and effective remediation outcomes. The validation tests, both in simulated environments and through real-world applications, have underscored the software's capability to predict biodegradation efficiencies and optimize remediation protocols accurately. These results demonstrate the software's practical value and its adaptability to a wide range of contamination scenarios.

Furthermore, the positive feedback from users highlights the importance of a user-friendly approach to complex environmental challenges, making advanced bioremediation strategies more accessible to professionals in the field. However, the journey continues. The dynamic nature of environmental systems and the continuous emergence of new pollutants necessitate ongoing research and development efforts. Future software enhancements should focus on expanding its database to incorporate emerging contaminants and exploring the integration of machine learning algorithms to improve prediction accuracy and efficiency. Additionally, fostering collaborations between academia, industry, and environmental agencies will ensure that the software remains relevant and effective in the face of evolving environmental challenges.

In conclusion, the Advanced Bioremediation Optimization Software embodies the intersection of scientific research and practical application, offering a powerful tool for advancing the field of environmental remediation. Its development reflects a crucial step towards more sustainable and effective cleanup of contaminated sites, highlighting the pivotal role of innovation in tackling environmental pollution. As we look to the future, it is clear that continued investment in research and development, coupled with an interdisciplinary approach, will be vital in realizing the full potential of bioremediation technologies.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Bassazin, A. M., Assefa Aragaw, T., & Birlie Genet, M. (2024). Bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil: A review on principles, degradation mechanisms, and advancements. Frontiers in Environmental Science. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1354422>
- 2. Thakur, D. Y., Singh, V., Sharma, P., & Singh, S. (2024). Deciphering microbe-driven remediation of environmental pollutants: An omics perspectiv[e. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-21781-4.00002-5](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/.%20https:/doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-21781-4.00002-5)
- 3. Rajkumari, J., Bhuyan, B., Das, N., & Pandey, P. (2019). Environmental applications of microbial extremophiles in the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in extreme environments.<https://doi.org/10.1007/S42398-019-00065-1>
- 4. Pandey, P., Pathak, H., & Dave, S. (2016). Microbial Ecology of Hydrocarbon Degradation in the Soil: A Review. Research Journal of Environmental Toxicology.<https://doi.org/10.3923/RJET.2016.1.15>
- 5. Li, M., Gao, Y., Qian, W.-J., Shi, L., Liu, Y., Nelson, W. C., ... Liu, C. (2017). Targeted quantification of functional enzyme dynamics in environmental samples for microbially mediated biogeochemical processes. Environmental Microbiology Reports.<https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12558>
- 6. (2022). Microbial Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Moderate to Extreme Environments and Application of "Omics" Techniques to Evaluate Bioremediation Approaches and Efficiency[. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429341106-9](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429341106-9)
- 7. Hasan, I. F., & AI-Jawhari. (2018). Role of Filamentous Fungi to Remove Petroleum Hydrocarbons from the Environment. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5\\_23](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5_23)
- 8. Adeleye, A. O., Nkereuwem, M. E., Omokhudu, G. I., Amoo, A. O., Shiaka, G. P., & Yerima, M. B. (2018). Effect of microorganisms in the bioremediation of spent engine oil and petroleum related environmental pollution. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management.<https://doi.org/10.4314/JASEM.V22I2.1>
- 9. Paniagua-Michel, J., & Fathepure, B. Z. (2018). Microbial Consortia and Biodegradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Marine Environments. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5_1)
- 10. Abdel-Shafy, H. I., & Mansour, M. S. M. (2018). Microbial Degradation of Hydrocarbons in the Environment: An Overview. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5_15)

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024**

**DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515)**



**IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**

- 11. Pal, S., Roy, A., & Kazy, S. K. (2019). Exploring Microbial Diversity and Function in Petroleum Hydrocarbon Associated Environments Through Omics Approaches.<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814849-5.00011-3>
- 12. Rasheed, M. A., Lakshmi, M., Kalpana, M. S., Dayal, A. M., & Patil, D. J. (2013). The microbial activity in development of hydrocarbon microseepage: An indicator for oil and gas exploration. Geosciences Journal. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12303-013-0026-Y>
- 13. Leahy, J. G., & Colwell, R. R. (1990). Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiological Research.<https://doi.org/10.1128/MR.54.3.305-315.1990>
- 14. Borah, D. (2018). Microbial Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon: An Overview. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5_13) [13-1840-5\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1840-5_13)
- 15. Mahjoubi, M., Cappello, S., Souissi, Y., Jaouani, A., & Cherif, A. (2018). Microbial Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon–Contaminated Marine Environments.<https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.72207>
- 16. Padmanabhan, P., & Shanker, R. (2004). Strategies for targeting marker bacterial oxygenases involved in transformation of hydrocarbons in contaminated soil. International Journal of Environmental Studies. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020723042000211240>
- 17. Mandalaywala, H., & Trivedi, R. (2016). Effective Microbial Consortium of Bacteria Isolated from Hydrocarbon Polluted Soils of Gujarat, India.<https://doi.org/10.15226/2475-4714/1/1/00106>
- 18. Chandra, D., General, T., Nisha, & Chandra, S. (2019). Microorganisms: An asset for decontamination of soil. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818307-6.00017-2>
- 19. Li, J., Huang, Q.-A., Cai, X., Zhao, X., Luo, C., & Zhang, G. (2024). Metabolic Characterization and Geochemical Drivers of Active Hydrocarbon‐Degrading Microorganisms. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024jg008104>
- 20. Бабынин, Э. В., & Дегтярева, И. А. (2021). Возможности использования информационных ресурсов в биоремедиации.<https://doi.org/10.21285/2227-2925-2021-11-3-372-383>
- 21. Ogbonna, D. N., Douglas, S. I., & Awari, V. G. (2020). Characterization of Hydrocarbon Utilizing Bacteria and Fungi Associated with Crude Oil Contaminated Soil. Microbiology Research Journal International. <https://doi.org/10.9734/MRJI/2020/V30I530221>
- 22. Pramanik, K., Karmakar, A., Gogoi, N., Hoque, R. R., Mandal, N. C., & Balachandran, S. (2023). Microbes and microbial strategies in carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons remediation: A systematic review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-31140-0>
- 23. Smith, R. J., Jeffries, T. C., Adetutu, E. M., Fairweather, P. G., & Mitchell, J. G. (2013). Determining the Metabolic Footprints of Hydrocarbon Degradation Using Multivariate Analysis. PLOS ONE. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0081910>
- 24. (2022). Functional Diversity of Microbial Communities in Hydrocarbon-Polluted Ecosystems. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003277354-5>
- 25. Premnath, N., Mohanrasu, K., Guru Raj Rao, R., Dinesh, G. H., Siva Prakash, G., Ananthi, V., ... Arun, A. (2021). A crucial review on polycyclic aromatic Hydrocarbons - Environmental occurrence and strategies for microbial degradation. Chemosphere.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2021.130608>
- 26. Kaushik, S., Alatawi, A., Djiwanti, S. R., Pande, A., Skotti, E., & Soni, V. (2021). Potential of Extremophiles for Bioremediation. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7447-4\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7447-4_12)
- 27. Khatoon, K., Anas, M., Siddiqui, Z., & Malik, A. (2021). Role of Soil Microbial Flora in Remediation of Hydrocarbon Stressed Soils. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4508-9\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4508-9_16)
- 28. Fenibo, E. O., Selvarajan, R., Abia, A. L. K., & Matambo, T. (2023). Medium-chain alkane biodegradation and its link to some unifying attributes of alkB genes diversity. Science of The Total Environment. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162951>
- 29. Kostka, J. E., Teske, A. P., Joye, S. B., & Head, I. M. (2014). The metabolic pathways and environmental controls of hydrocarbon biodegradation in marine ecosystems. Frontiers in Microbiology[. https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2014.00471](https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2014.00471)

### **ISSN: 2581-8341**

**Volume 07 Issu**e **10 October 2024**

### **DOI: [10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23,](https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23) Impact Factor: [7.943](http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=20515)**



**IJCSRR @ 2024 [www.ijcsrr.org](http://www.ijcsrr.org/)**

- 30. A., D., O., & Tomilayo, R. B. (2023). Ecological Implications Of Bacterial Degradation Of Alkanes In Petroleum-Contaminated Environments: A Review Of Microbial Community Dynamics And Functional Interactions. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences.<https://doi.org/10.4314/gjpas.v29i2.4>
- 31. Nie, Y., Chi, C.-Q., Fang, H., Liang, J.-L., Lu, S., Lai, G., ... Wu, X.-L. (2015). Diverse alkane hydroxylase genes in microorganisms and environments. Scientific Reports.<https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP04968>
- 32. Tripathi, V., Gaur, V. K., Thakur, R., Patel, D. K., & Manickam, N. (2023). Assessing the half-life and degradation kinetics of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons by bacteria isolated from crude oil contaminated soil. Chemosphere. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139264>
- 33. Wang, M., Ding, M., & Yuan, Y.-J. (2023). Bioengineering for the Microbial Degradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contaminants. Bioengineering.<https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10030347>
- 34. Pandolfo, E., Barra Caracciolo, A., & Rolando, L. (2023). Recent Advances in Bacterial Degradation of Hydrocarbons. Water.<https://doi.org/10.3390/w15020375>
- 35. Costa, C., dos Santos, A. L., & Vega, M. A. (2022). Kinetics of Arab Light Crude Oil Degradation by Pseudomonas and Bacillus Strains. Water.<https://doi.org/10.3390/w14233802>
- 36. Tirmizhi, M., Faggo, A. A., & Gulumbe, B. H. (2022). Species of Pseudomonas and Bacillus Isolated from Refined Oilcontaminated Soil Showed the Potential to Efficiently Degrade Diesel. Journal of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Biotechnology.<https://doi.org/10.54987/jobimb.v10i1.718>
- 37. Ntroumpogianni, G. C., Giannoutsou, E., Karagouni, A. D., & Savvides, A. L. (2022). Bacterial Isolates from Greek Sites and Their Efficacy in Degrading Petroleum. Sustainability.<https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159562>
- 38. Ghorbannezhad, H., Moghimi, H., & Dastgheib, S. M. M. (2022). Biodegradation of high molecular weight hydrocarbons under saline condition by halotolerant Bacillus subtilis and its mixed cultures with Pseudomonas species. Dental science reports. doi: [10.1038/s41598-022-17001-9](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.1038/s41598-022-17001-9)
- 39. Yerushalmi, L., Manuel, M. F., & Guiot, S. R. (1999). Biodegradation of gasoline and BTEX in a microaerophilic biobarrier. Biodegradation, 10(5), 341-352. doi:
- 40. Shankar, S., Kansrajh, C., Dinesh, M. G., Satyan, R. S., Kiruthika, S., & Tharanipriya, A. (2014). Application of indigenous microbial consortia in bioremediation of oil-contaminated soils. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 11(2), 367-376. doi: [10.1007/s13762-013-0366-1](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.1007/s13762-013-0366-1)
- 41. Li, X., Yi, S., Cundy, A. B., & Chen, W. (2022). Sustainable decision-making for contaminated site risk management: A decision tree model using machine learning algorithms. Journal of Cleaner Production. doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133612](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133612)
- 42. Madison, A. S., Sorsby, S., Wang, Y., & Key, T. A. (2023). Increasing in situ bioremediation effectiveness through fieldscale application of molecular biological tools. Frontiers in Microbiology. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2022.1005871](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1005871)
- 43. Rezaei, Z., & Moghimi, H. (2024). Fungal-bacterial consortia: A promising strategy for the removal of petroleum hydrocarbons. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. doi: [10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.116543](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1005871)
- 44. Sama, D. K., Agbor, D. T., Pangilinan, P. J. M., Sunjo, T. E., & Sama-Lang, P. (2023). Cutting Edge Use of Microbial Consortia for Bioremediation of Contaminated Soil. Agricultural Science. doi: [10.22620/agrisci.2023.39.001](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.22620/agrisci.2023.39.001)
- 45. Panigrahi, S., Saranya, E., & Toleti, S. R. (2024). Advanced Molecular Tools in Microbial Community Profiling in the Context of Bioremediation Applications. doi: [10.1016/b978-0-443-13320-6.00009-3](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.22620/agrisci.2023.39.001)
- 46. Alidoosti, F., Giyahchi, M., Moien, S., & Moghimi, H. (2024). Unlocking the potential of soil microbial communities for bioremediation of emerging organic contaminants: omics-based approaches. Microbial Cell Factories. doi: [10.1186/s12934-024-02485-z](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.1186/s12934-024-02485-z)
- 47. (2023). Integration of Pathway Analysis as a Powerful Tool for Microbial Remediation of Pollutants. doi: [10.1002/9781119852131.ch21](file:///C:/Users/DC/Downloads/10.1186/s12934-024-02485-z)

*Cite this Article: Meriem BENBERNOU, Hadja Fatima TBAHRITI, Belhocine Mohamed (2024). Development of an Optimization Software for Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils mechanisms. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 7(10), 7682-7694, DOI:<https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i10-23>*