ISSN: 2581-8341 Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



The Implementation of Analytical Hierarchy Process to Choose the Best Solution to Increase Mould Maker Profit

Simon Erick¹, Meditya Wasesa²

^{1,2} School of Business and Management, Bandung Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT: Mould Maker is a company that buy and sell machine for their main business activity. Mould maker have long time machine waiting time to be sold and have low profit margin. The longer the time taken by a machine to be sold, the higher the maintenance cost for the machine. Besides, Mould Maker always purchase machines for their stock in every offer that comes to Mould Maker, so they have overstocked machine in their workshop. With many and vary type of machines that they have, Mould Maker can utilize the machines to increase their profit margin.

Mould Maker have good business environment from the external factor analysis. As for internal analysis, Mould Maker still have room for improvement, some of them are the number of their stock and the number for decision maker. Caused by their low profit margin, Mould Maker cannot hire high value employee to be one of the decision makers. The problem that Mould Maker face is how to improve their profit by utilizing the machines that they have.

Further analysis needed to solve this problem. The SWOT analysis used to find some strategies and need to be fit with the problem faced by Mould maker. The strategies that able to be implemented are rent their machine, accepting manufacture order, improving stock policy, boost marketing strategy, and purchasing car to help Mould Maker to sell their product.

From the strategy alternatives, AHP method is used to choose the best strategy. With AHP method, the best solution can be chosen by considering the value from each consideration criteria. For this research, the best solution proposed by AHP method is to accept manufacture order.

KEYWORDS: AHP; Internal analysis; Manufacture; PESTLE analysis; SWOT analysis; Second-hand machinery.

INTRODUCTION

Manufacture industry is an industry that produce semi-finished good to be sell to other industry. In Indonesia, manufacturing Industry is the biggest GDP contributor in Indonesia with IDR 805.62 trillion, or 19.29% from total national GDP at the second quarter in 2021 (Proceedings-Student Conference, 2022). According to Asian Development Bank, manufacturing industry growth in Indonesia is still left behind from other country such as Singapore. This caused by there are still many manufactures industry that not implement technology in their production.

In manufacturing Industry, machine is one of the most important investment to the industry to maintain their business. Machine is the main component to process raw material into product that company sell and give them profit.

The problem for investing in machine is the price for a machine is not cheap. It becomes problem when a company needs to improve their production or replacing old and broken machine. It will need an amount of cost when they need to procure new machine to fulfill their production gap between the demand and their supply. With this problem, Mould Maker comes as a solution for one-stop second-hand manufacturing Industry.

Mould Maker is one of the players in second-hand manufacture industry. Founded in 2000 and established in 2006 made Mould Maker is one of the oldest players in Bandung in the industry. Mould Maker had focused on five main business activities; buy, sell, trade, repair, and rent.

In 2021, Mould Maker revenue is considerably big. Mould Maker revenue is IDR. 1,368,350,000.00. when the revenue reduced by its capital and operating cost, the profit is only IDR. 200,051,142.86.

Another problem faced by Mould Maker is that Mould Maker have no profit it several months, which means their revenue is lower than the operating cost. The detail for Mould Maker revenue and operating cost can be seen in Figure 1.

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



www.ijcsrr.org

Figure 1. Mould Maker Revenue vs Operating Cost



Source: Author

From Figure 1., seen that March, May, July, and December Mould Maker needs to suffer from loss; and it happened annually. When there are close to Christmas, beginning of school year, and Eid al-Fitr. Mould Maker needs to find way to increase their revenue by utilizing their asset.

In this research, the root cause analysis will be using why-tree analysis. From the why tree analysis, there will be alternative solutions from the why tree analysis. AHP will be used to select the best solution from the alternative solution given from the root cause analysis.

From the analysis result, the chosen alternative solution is develop new market for manufacture service with value 36%. The others alternatives can be implemented after developing new market for manufacture service.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The AHP method allows complex problems to be decomposed into sets of straightforward judgements and provides a documented rational for choosing a particular options. The use of pairwise comparison means that the decision maker can focus, in turn, on each small part of the problem. The AHP requires more comparison to be made by the decision-maker than are needed to establish a set of weights.

Saaty in the "Decision Making with the Analytic Hierarchy Process" (Int. J. Services Sciences, Vol.1, No.1, 2008) says that to decide in an organized way to generate priorities, the decision shall be structured into the following steps:

- 1. Define the problem and determine the kind of knowledge sought
- 2. Structure the decision hierarchy from the top with goal of the decision, then the objectives from a board perspective, through intermediate levels
- 3. Construct pairwise comparison matrixes.
- 4. Use the priorities obtained from the comparisons to weigh the priorities in the level immediately below. Do this for every criteria and sub-criteria, then add its weighted values and obtain the global priority. Continue the process until weighting and adding until the absolute priorities of the alternatives in the bottom-most level are obtained.
- 5. Check the consistency. AHP calculates a consistency ratio (CR) comparing the consistency index (CI) of the matrix in the question divided by the consistency index of a random-like matrix (RI). The value of RI shown in the Table 1. the n is the number of items compared and the RI is the value. The value for CR gained by dividing CI and RI.

Table 1. RI Value

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
RI	0	0	0.58	0.9	1.12	1.24	1.32	1.41	1.45	1.49
Sour	ce: Aut	hor								

1489 *Corresponding Author: Simon Erick

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995



METHOD

This research use quantitative method. The data for the problem gathered from Mould Maker revenue annually, and the owner filled the survey for AHP calculation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The problem that faced by Mould Maker will be analysed in the why-tree diagram. The diagram will analyse the two main factor that causing Mould Maker gain low profit. The why tree analysis for Mould Maker root cause analysis will be shown in the Figure 2.

Figure 2. Mould Maker Root Cause Analysis



From the diagram, seen that Mould Maker root problem is overstocking machine and owner cannot affort high value employee. Mould Maker needs to increase their revenue to afford high value employee by utilizing the machines that Mould Maker have. From the analysis, the root cause for Mould Maker prroblem is machine overstocks and owner cannot hire high value employee.

Mould Maker needs to increase their profit by utilizing their machine in the workshop.

While the root cause determined, the external and internal analysis will be conducted. The external analysis will use PESTLE analysis will use resources and capabilities analysis. The PESTLE analysis will be shown in Table 2. From Table 2., seen that Manufacture Industry have a good business environtment. Mould Maker can use this opportunities to sell their machine more and increase their profit, while the profit will be use for Mould Maker needs to expand their business.

Table 2. l	PESTLE	Analysis	for 1	Manufacture	Industry
------------	--------	----------	-------	-------------	----------

Political	Economic	Social	Technology	Legal	Environment
Indonesian	PMI in	Increasing in	In digital era,	PSBB caused	Increasing in
Government push	manufacturing in	manufacture	orders may come	decrease in	manufacture product
the KCIC	Indonesia decreased	product cause the	anywhere using	orders for	demand
construction in	until 31.37, in two	increasing in	internet	manufacturin	
Indonesia	months in row	labor demand		g product	

1490 *Corresponding Author: Simon Erick



ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023

DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995

IJCSRR @ 2023



www.ijcsrr.org

In Octob	er 2021,	Manufacture	Indonesia still not	Relaxation in	The cost for Raw
PMI in		industry can be	implement High	PSBB	Material import is
Manufac	turing in	integrated with	Technology	regulation in	expensive
Indonesi	a increased	other industry	Industry	2021	
until 57.2	2				
			In the future,	Relaxation in	Fluctuation in IDR
			Manufacture	import tax	exchange rate
			industry will use		
			new technology		
			and abandoned		
			the old		
			technology.		
				Relaxation in	From the PMI graph, the
				export activity	resilience in
				restriction	manufacturing industry
					tends to be
					stable in 2013 until
					2019
					In 2020, the Covid-19
					pandemic hit Indonesia,
					caused uncertainty in
					manufacturing industry.
					This condition proved by
					the decreasing in PMI
					score.

Source: Author

The next analysis will be internal analysis. The internal analysisi will e conducted by resources analysis and capabilities analysis. The resources analysis will be shown in Table 3.

The resources analysis will analyse Mould Maker strength and weakness. With this analysis, Mould Maker can strengthen their strength and improve their weakness.

The other factors analysed for internal analysis is the capabilities analysis. The capabilities analysis for Mould Maker will be shown in Table 4. below.

Table 3. Mould Maker Resources Analys

Tangible	Factors	Intangible Resources	Factors
Resources			
Physical	IDR 3.324.890.000 asset in Machine	Human and Asset	Owner and employee both can operate the
	and Buildings	Intellectual Capital	machine in Mould Maker
Financial	Wages and Tax is Mould Maker only	Brand, Company	Mould Maker is known as one-stop solution
	liabilities.	Image, and	for second-hand metal manufacture
		Reputational Asset	machine
Technological	The machine in the workshop can be	Relationship	Relationship with other manufacturing
	used to produce spare part for		SME to help produce spare part that Mould
	servicing orders		Maker cannot produce

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023

DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995



www.ijcsrr.org

IJCSRR @ 2023

Organizational	Low-cost employee to operating	Company Culture and	Customer's satisfaction is Mould Maker's
	Mould Maker	Incentive System	orientation

Source: Author

Table 4. Mould Maker Capabilities Analysis

Capabilities	Is the capability	Is the	Is the capability costly	Is the capability
	valuable?	capability rare	to imitate?	nonsubstitutable?
Marketing	yes	yes	yes	no
Market themselves as one stop				
solution for broken machine				
Distributions Sell the	yes	no	yes	no
product mostly in Bandung Area				
Operations	yes	yes	yes	no
Repairing broken machine to be sell				
again				
Source: Author	•	•	•	•

Source: Author

After analysing the external factors and internal factors, Mould Maker can determine their next strategy using SWOT Analysis. With this analysis, by combining Mould Maker strength, weakness, opportuinites, and threats, several strategies will be shown that Mould Maker can use to expand their business. The SWOT Matrix for Mould Maker will be shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Mould Maker SWOT Matrix

			1 .	
	Opport	unities:	Threat:	
	•	Order may come from	•	High tech industry implemented in
	•	Increasing business activity in	•	Fluctuation in IDR exchange rate
		manufacturing industry		causing the price in scrap metal
	•	PMI in Indonesia tend to be stable		fluctuated
	•	Relaxation in import tax	•	Cost of raw material import is
	•	Indonesia still not implementing		expensive
		high tech industry	•	Uncertainty situation e.g., Pandemic
	•	New market from repairing service		
Strongth		Exponding new montrat		Learn and adapt with high took
Suengui:	•		•	Learn and adapt with high tech
• Mould Maker have		opportunities in repairing service		machine to preparing the
huge	•	Boost marketing		implementation of high-tech industry
asset	•	Offer machine renting	•	Learning, be ready, and start branding
• Mould Maker have no	•	Train employee to selling machine		Mould Maker as a company that ready
debt		utilizing internet technology		to adapt the new high-tech machine
Numerous machines				
can be				
used for productivity				
Low-cost employee				
• Owner and employee				
can				

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023

DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995

IJCSRR @ 2023

(1		
1	UCSRR	
20		
www	.iicsrr.org	5

 operate and repair the machine Well-known as one stop solution for broken machine 		
 Weakness: The machine cannot sell easily Lazy employee Employee easily resigns Unproductive machine Don't have any car to deliver 	 Create SOP and evaluation for employee performance Create contract with new employee when employee accepted to work Investing in transportation mode to deliver machine easily 	Learning high tech machine for high- tech industry
machineOne-man decision makers		

From the combination of the root cause analysis and SWOT analysis, there will be five alternative solutions. The alternative solutions are improving stock policy, develop new market for manufacturing services, rent the machine that Mould Maker have, boost marketing plan, and purchasing car to help operational avtivities.

The alternative solution needs to connect with each criteria that help Mould Maker gain more profit for them to expand their business. The connection between alternative solution and criteria will be shown in the hierarchy tree for proposed solution in Figure 3.





Source: Author

After knowing the alternative solution, the next step is to determine the best solution using AHP method. The value for each criterion and category gained by interviewing the owner. The Pairwise questionnaire for AHP implementation shown in Figure 4. Until Figure 8.

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



www.ijcsrr.org

Figure 4. Pairwise Questionnaire for Criterion

								Cr	iteri	on							_	-
Criteria	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Criteria
Profitability							x											Capital Expenditure
Capital Expenditure			1			х												Operability
Operability							х											Manpower Requirement
Manpower Requirement												x						Profitability
Profitability							x											Operability
Capital Expenditure										x								Manpower Requirement

Source: Author

Figure 5. Pairwise Questionnaire for Capital Expenditure

							Cap	ital	Expe	endi	ture	94 - L							
Alternative Solution	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Alternative Solution	
Improving stock policy							х											Search manufacture order	
Search manufacture order								x				\sim						Rent the machine	
Rent the machine	_1_					x												Boost marketing plan	
Boost marketing plan						х												Improving stock policy	
Improving stock policy	1							x										Rent the machine	
Search manufacture order						x						_						Boost marketing plan	
Purchasing car																х		Improving stock policy	
Purchasing car	1													x				Search manufacture order	
Purchasing car														X				Rent the machine	
Purchasing car	ΞĴΞ													x				Boost marketing plan	

Source: Author

Figure 6. Pairwise Questionnaire for Profitability

								Pro	fitak	oility	ř.							
Alternative Solution	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Alternative Solution
Improving stock policy																	x	Search manufacture order
Search manufacture order							x											Rent the machine
Rent the machine						х												Boost marketing plan
Boost marketing plan															x			Improving stock policy
Improving stock policy																	x	Rent the machine
Search manufacture order							x											Boost marketing plan
Purchasing car				x														Improving stock policy
Purchasing car															x			Search manufacture order
Purchasing car												x						Rent the machine
Purchasing car										x								Boost marketing plan

Source: Author

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



www.ijcsrr.org

Figure 6. Pairwise Questionnaire for Operability

	- 10 5 - 5							Op	erab	ility	<u>.</u>		a - 11					22	
Alternative Solution	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Alternative Solution	
Improving stock policy								x										Search manufacture order	
Search manufacture order							x											Rent the machine	
Rent the machine					x													Boost marketing plan	
Boost marketing plan																		Improving stock policy	
Improving stock policy				x														Rent the machine	
Search manufacture order				x														Boost marketing plan	
Purchasing car																	x	Improving stock policy	
Purchasing car	_	_															x	Search manufacture order	
Purchasing car																x		Rent the machine	
Purchasing car												x						Boost marketing plan	

Source: Author

Figure 7. Pairwise Questionnaire for Manpower Requirement

	- 20-					M	anp	owe	r Re	quir	eme	nt					A	2
Alternative Solution	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Alternative Solution
Improving stock policy						x												Search manufacture order
Search manufacture order						x												Rent the machine
Rent the machine								x										Boost marketing plan
Boost marketing plan																	x	Improving stock policy
Improving stock policy			x															Rent the machine
Search manufacture order	х																	Boost marketing plan
Purchasing car				x														Improving stock policy
Purchasing car	- C - C									1		x						Search manufacture order
Purchasing car						x		-			1							Rent the machine
Purchasing car						×												Boost marketing plan

Source: Author

After calculating the result from questionnaire using AHP method as shown in Literature review, the result for AHP shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9. AHP Result

Improving stock policy	0.40	0.03	0.44	0.52	Ι,		0.2476
Search manufacture order	0.25	0.46	0.29	0.26		0.10	0.3646
Rent the machine	0.22	0.28	0.17	0.06	x	0.49	0.2149
Boost marketing plan	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.04		0.29	0.1018
Purchasing car	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.13		0.13	0.0712

Source: Author

From the result, seen that the best solution to improve Mould Maker profit is to search manufacture order. With this solution, Mould Maker will not need to add other investment in machine. Mould Maker also can maintain their machine periodically and they not need to improve the employee ability in using machine because the employee and the owner both can operate the machine.

ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



The conclusions for this research are:

What is the root cause of the Mould Maker Low Profit Margin?

From the analysis, the root cause problem is the overstocks machine and owner cannot hire high value employee. The owner needs to increase the profit to hire high value employee, so the decision makers in Mould Maker does not lay on one man decision maker. Mould Maker can utilize their machine to increase their profit.

What are the proposed solutions for the Mould Maker Low Profit Margin?

From the analysis, the root cause problem is the overstocks machine and owner cannot hire high value employee. The owner needs to increase the profit to hire high value employee, so the decision makers in Mould Maker does not lay on one man decision maker. Mould Maker can utilize their machine to increase their profit.

What is the best solution to solve the Mould Maker Low Profit Margin?

The proposed solution for Mould Maker to increasing their profit must lay on four considering factors, they are:

- 1. Capital expenditure. Mould Maker can utilize their asset to improve their revenue.
- 2. Develop new market for manufacturing service, or search manufacture order. This factor will open new opportunities for Mould Maker.
- 3. Operability. The solution needs to be operable with Mould Maker asset, the machines and human resources need to be able to execute the solution.
- 4. Manpower requirement. Since there are only three employee in Mould Maker, the strategy need to be operable with as less manpower as it can.

From the four consideration factors, the alternative solutions are:

- 1. Improving stock policy.
- 2. Develop new market for manufacturing service, or search for manufacture orders.
- 3. Rent Mould Maker machines.
- 4. Boost Marketing plan.
- 5. Purchasing car

After the alternative solution analysed using AHP method with the consideration of the four factors, the best solution for Mould Maker to be implement immediately is to develop new market for manufacturing service. With this solution, Mould Maker can control their stock, maintain their machine, and utilize their machine to gain more profit.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gitman, Lawrence J., and Chad J. Zutter, Principles of Managerial Finance, Pearson: 14th Edition.
- Julianto, N. G., Gemilang, M. A., Hanifa, A. D., Begin, A. R., & Fauzan, F. (2022, January 20-21). KETAHANAN INDUSTRI MANUFAKTUR INDONESIA DI MASA COVID 19: PURCHASING MANAGER INDEX MANUFACTURING. Proceedings, Economics Student Conference (Industri), 196.7
- 3. Lestari, E. P., & Ismina WSU. (2017, Semester 1). Analisis Kinerja Industri Manufaktur Di Indonesia. Journal of Research in Economics and Management, 17, 183 198.
- 4. Mu, E., & Pereyra-Rojas, M. (2016). Practical decision making: an introduction to the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) using super decisions V2. Springer.
- 5. Patovic, I. M. (2020, September 28-29). PESTEL Analysis of External Environment as a Success Factor of Startup Business. Consciens Conference Proceedings, Pandemics and Their Impact on Society, 96.
- 6. Peteraf, M., Strickland III, A. J., Gamble, J. E., & Thompson Jr, A. A. (2015). Crafting & Executing Strategy: The Quest for Competitive Advantage:

Concepts and Cases. McGraw-Hill Education.

- 7. Saaty, T. L., 1980, The Analytic Hierarchy Process. New York: McGraw Hill
- 8. Saaty, T. L., 2000, Decision Making for Leaders. RWS Publications, 4922 Ellsworth Avenue, Pittsburgh



ISSN: 2581-8341

Volume 06 Issue 02 February 2023 DOI: 10.47191/ijcsrr/V6-i2-68, Impact Factor: 5.995 IJCSRR @ 2023



- 9. Saaty, T.L., 2008, Decision Making with The Analytic Hierarchy Process, International Journal of Services Sciences
- 10. Saaty, T. L. and Vargas, L. G., 2012, Models, Methods, Concepts & Application of the Analytic Hierarchy Process, New York: Springer
- 11. Wang, K. C. (n.d.). A process View of SWOT Analysis. National Taipei university.

Cite this Article: Simon Erick, Meditya Wasesa (2023). The Implementation of Analytical Hierarchy Process to Choose the Best Solution to Increase Mould Maker Profit. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 6(2), 1488-1497