



## A review on Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy

Md Shamshir Alam<sup>1\*</sup>, Garima Malik<sup>2</sup>, Priyanka Tanwar<sup>3</sup>, Mamta Naagar<sup>4</sup>, Tarun Singh<sup>5</sup>, Omveer Singh<sup>6</sup>,  
Manish Kumar Maity<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, National University of Science and Technology, PO Box 620, Postal code 130, Boshier-Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, MM College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be university), Mullana-133207, Ambala, Haryana, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Bhagvan Mahavir Institute of Medical Sciences, Sonapat-131030, Haryana, India

<sup>4, 5, 6, 7</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, MM College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be university), Mullana-133207, Ambala, Haryana, India

**ABSTRACT:** Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) is a kind of inflammatory neuropathy that has a gradual start and symmetrical sensory involvement. However, there are several clinical variances, suggesting that CIDP may represent a spectrum of linked disorders rather than a single disease entity. While the prevailing idea of CIDP pathogenesis is that cell-mediated and humoral processes interact in an abnormal immune response to damage peripheral neurons, the proportional roles of T cell and autoantibody responses are yet unknown. T cell responses to specified myelin antigens are responsible in animal models of spontaneous inflammatory neuropathy. Antibodies to Schwann cell, compact myelin, and nodal antigens have been found in different human inflammatory neuropathies. The roles of the cellular and humoral immune systems in the development of CIDP are discussed in this review. It is believed that, in the future, the identification of clinical phenotypes and the underlying disease processes would aid in the development of diagnostic and therapy options for CIDP.

**KEYWORDS:** Myelin, Neuroimmunology, Neuropathy, Neurophysiology, Schwann cell

### INTRODUCTION

The most prevalent treated chronic neuropathy is chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP), which has an incidence of 1 to 9 cases per 100000 people globally [1–6]. CIDP manifests as a recurrent or progressive neuropathy with proximal and distal weakness that lasts at least eight weeks [7]. Although CIDP is classified as an autoimmune condition in which an abnormal immune response is directed towards peripheral nerve components, causing demyelination and axonal destruction, the specific processes behind immunopathology development are unknown. Furthermore, the wide range of clinical presentations and various phenotypic variations confound the identification of pathogenic pathways, which is exacerbated by differing patient responses to therapy. While modern medicines focused at stopping immunopathogenic pathways can help many people, others do not respond or have long-term disabilities. There is currently no biomarker that can be used to help diagnosis or categorise individuals into subgroups. A better knowledge of the relationships between immunopathology and clinical phenotype might aid in the development of CIDP diagnostic and therapy strategies. The pathogenesis of CIDP, the function of the cellular and humoral immune systems, and their link to phenotypic manifestation in CIDP will be discussed in this review.

### CIDP Phenotypic Variants

CIDP has several phenotypic variations. Indeed, CIDP might represent a spectrum of distinct but linked diseases in which immunogenetic variances drive individual phenotypic disparities. Motor and sensory nerve dysfunction are common in CIDP, with motor impairments recorded in up to 94 percent of patients and sensory abnormalities reported in up to 89 percent of patients [19]. On the other hand only 50% of CIDP patients have the classic phenotype. Sensory dominant CIDP affects 5-35 percent of patients, with lower limb numbness being the most common symptom [9-11, 20, 21]. Despite the absence of sensory complaints, individuals with demyelination frequently have severe motor nerve conduction problems [21]. Sometimes patients with just sensory electrophysiological characteristics have been observed [22]. Many of these individuals, however, go on to develop motor weakness



years after the start of sensory symptoms [23]. Similarly, a small percentage of CIDP patients (5%), known as chronic immune sensory polyradiculopathy, suffer with increasing sensory ataxia and sensory complaints [8-12]. In contrast to sensory CIDP, these individuals may show little signs of demyelination in distal sensory nerves and are damaged mostly in the posterior root's fibres [24]. Somatosensory evoked potentials may be used to confirm proximal sensory impairment [25]. While conventional CIDP involves both proximal and distal involvement, the distal acquired demyelinating symmetric neuropathy (DADS) variety is limited to a distal, symmetrical distribution [26], with mostly sensory complaints, but electrophysiological evidence of motor involvement is common [26]. The cause is a unique disorder in which an IgM paraprotein with antimyelin-associated glycoprotein (anti-MAG) antibody activity is responsible for the pathogenesis in 50–70% of individuals with the clinical picture of DADS phenotype [26, 27]. On the other hand, the DADS clinical picture might be produced by a phenotypic variety of CIDP that shares a lot of similarities with the sensory and sensory ataxic CIDP phenotypes [28]. Patients with recurrent remitting weakness with limited or no sensory electrophysiological characteristics or symptoms have been documented to have motor dominant CIDP [29, 30]. The motor dominant phenotype affects 7-10% of CIDP patients [8, 9] with rates greater in those under the age of 20 years [31]. Multifocal motor neuropathy is the most common differential diagnosis for motor CIDP, especially in the rare cases of focal motor CIDP [20]. Asymmetry characterises Lewis-Sumner syndrome (LSS), also known as multifocal acquired demyelinating sensory and motor neuropathy (MADSAM), which manifests as a multifocal multiple mononeuropathy, most typically in the upper limbs [32]. It affects 6-15 percent of people with CIDP [8,9]. Patients have impaired sensory and motor nerve conduction in one or both upper limbs [14, 33, 34]. The majority of individuals develop diffuse, classic CIDP that spreads to the other limbs [32,34]. Focal CIDP has also been recorded, with symptoms confined to a single focal location for an extended length of time [15], but it may also occur before diffuse CIDP develops [35]. For the past 30 years, focal sensory CIDP has been observed to be limited to one upper limb [36]. Acute-onset CIDP has a fast progression beginning within 8 weeks [16,17], which may cause diagnostic overlap with acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP) [18]. Acute-onset CIDP affects 2 to 16 percent of CIDP patients [9, 16-18]. Nerve excitability approaches have indicated variations in the profiles of AIDP and acute-onset CIDP patients, potentially resulting in better diagnostic results [38]. Although the onset phase of CIDP is normally defined as 8 weeks or more, and the onset phase of AIDP is usually defined as 4 weeks or less, some individuals have a subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy with an intermediate duration of the initial progressive phase [39-41].

## Differential Diagnoses and Mimic Disorders

There are various immune-mediated neuropathies that are associated to the vast variety of CIDP symptoms. Evidence of a paraprotein might indicate a malignant haematological illness or an unexplained monoclonal gammopathy [42]. Paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy (PDN) refers to demyelinating neuropathy that occurs in the context of monoclonal gammopathy and is phenotypically similar to CIDP. The phenotype of PDN linked with IgM paraprotein is often sluggish, distant, and largely sensory [26, 42, 43]. Anti-MAG IgM antibodies are seen in more than half of IgM paraprotein patients [44]. Sensory ataxia and tremor are common symptoms of Anti-MAG neuropathy [43, 45]. Reduced or missing sensory action potentials, as well as excessively long distal motor latencies, are electrophysiological features of anti-MAG neuropathy [46, 47]. While individuals with PDN may satisfy diagnostic criteria for CIDP, strong anti-MAG antibody rule out CIDP diagnosis [7]. IgG and IgA paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathies are less prevalent, although they can look a lot like CIDP, especially in terms of treatment response [48,49]. CANOMAD (Chronic ataxic neuropathy with ophthalmoplegia, M-protein, cold agglutinins, and disialosyl antibodies) is an uncommon illness characterised by severe sensory ataxia and cranial nerve involvement, which includes ophthalmoplegia, dysphagia, or dysarthria, and very little weakening [50]. It affects around 2% of people with IgM PDN [51]. Antibodies to gangliosidedisialosylmoieties are linked to CANOMAD [50]. CANOMAD usually takes years to develop, and peripheral neuropathy may appear before other symptoms such as ophthalmoplegia [52]. POEMS syndrome (Polyneuropathy, Organomegaly, Endocrinology, Monoclonal gammopathy, and Skin Changes) is a little less frequent condition marked by plasma cell dyscrasia of an IgA or IgG paraprotein and a slew of multisystem clinical symptoms [42]. It is frequently associated with neuropathy [53], which is characterised by sensory and motor involvement as well as demyelinating and axonal characteristics [42]. In the initial phase it remains as subacute, and the disease progresses to leave the patient with significant motor weakness [54]. This condition might be a lot of neuropathic pain [53]. The cytokine vascular endothelial growth factor [55] is useful in determining the diagnosis. MMN is the most common differential diagnosis for motor CIDP, especially in the rare cases of focused motor CIDP [56]. In the absence of



objective sensory involvement, MMN is a chronic, immune-mediated neuropathy characterised by asymmetric, mostly distal, and typically upper limb weakness [57-59]. Multifocal conduction blockages in mixed nerve motor fibres with normal sensory conduction along the same segments characterize MMN. Anti-GM1 IgM antibodies have been found in individuals with MMN at varied levels of prevalence, ranging from 30% - 85% [60,61] but most studies report the ranges between 40% - 50% [62-64]. This broad range is partly owing to methodological differences [61,65], but it is commonly agreed that anti-GM1 antibodies are present in a larger proportion of individuals with MMN than in control groups, and that they may be associated with the degree of weakness and impairment [62]. The asymmetry of presentation and motor involvement are similar to those seen in the CIDP subtypes MADSAM and motor dominant CIDP, making misdiagnosis a possibility. MMN generally responds to immunotherapy with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg), but not to plasma exchange or corticosteroid treatment, unlike CIDP [56]. Motor CIDP, on the other hand, has been observed to be resistant to or worsen in steroid therapy [29,66].

### Clinical Diagnosis

A combination of clinical and electrophysiological criteria is used to diagnose CIDP. There have been a few criteria proposed. The recommendations were created by the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) and the Peripheral Nerve Society (PNS) for clinical and scientific purposes [7]. To identify CIDP, the criteria incorporate clinical and electrophysiological evidence, with supportive criteria such as high CSF protein, gadolinium elevation of nerve roots or plexus on MRI, or nerve biopsy results giving further diagnostic evidence. For a diagnosis of 'definite' CIDP, electrodiagnostic evidence of peripheral nerve demyelination in motor nerves, such as distal latency prolongation, reduction of motor conduction velocity, prolongation of F-wave latency, and partial motor conduction block, must be identified in at least two nerves [7]. It should be highlighted that the EFNS/PNS criteria may fail to diagnose pure sensory CIDP in some patients where regular motor conduction testing is normal. If CIDP is suspected in these situations, sensory evoked potentials should be used to probe the proximal area of the peripheral sensory nerve system. Although alternative criteria have been presented, the EFNS/PNS criteria for CIDP diagnosis have high sensitivity and specificity and are now the most often utilized [6,67,68].

### Immunopathogenesis of CIDP

The prevailing idea of CIDP pathophysiology is that cell-mediated and humoral processes work together to generate peripheral nerve injury. Several lines of evidence point to CIDP being an autoimmune illness mediated by humoral and cellular response to as-yet-unidentified Schwann cell/myelin antigens. Despite the fact that some patients have reported antecedent infections prior to the development of neurological symptoms, no target(s) or trigger for the autoimmune response has been identified and no infectious agent has been reliably associated to illness onset. The success of therapies that target the immune system, such as IVIg, plasma exchange, and corticosteroids, as well as indications of an inflammatory response in the blood and peripheral nerves, support the autoimmune etiology.

### Pathology of CIDP

Inflammatory lesions in CIDP are seen mostly in the spinal roots, proximal nerve trunks, and major plexuses, but can also be found throughout the PNS, according to autopsy, MRI, and ultrasound investigations. However, because the proximal nerves and nerve roots are relatively inaccessible, most biopsies are performed from the sural nerve. Despite the fact that this site is far from the most active inflammatory activity, pathological changes in sural nerve biopsies include no abnormalities, oedema, demyelination, onion bulb formation, axonal degeneration, and perivascular or endoneurial inflammatory infiltrates of macrophages and T cells [69, 70, 71,72]. Many of these pathological changes are also seen in an animal model of CIDP called experimental autoimmune neuritis (EAN), which is caused by an autoimmune attack on peripheral nerve mediated by the cellular and humoral arms of the immune response and is induced in susceptible strains of rodents or rabbits by immunization with either whole myelin or specific myelin proteins.

### Cellular Mechanisms

Based on the presence of inflammatory infiltrates in sural nerve biopsies, [73] changes in the function of T cell subsets [74,75] altered expression of cytokines [76-80] and other inflammatory mediators [81,82] in the blood and CSF of CIDP patients, and the



contribution of T cells to disease in EAN, cellular immune mechanisms are implicated in the pathogenesis of CIDP [83-86]. The blood-nervous-system barrier is disrupted. The disruption of the blood-nerve barrier (BNB) is one of the key antecedents of nerve inflammation and subsequent nerve injury. The BNB maintains endoneurium homeostasis under normal physiological settings by restricting free passage of soluble substances such as serum proteins from the blood into the neuron microenvironment. On the other hand, T cells can not only cross the BNB into the endoneurium when activated, but they may also change the permeability of the BNB, allowing substances that are normally prohibited to enter. During active disease, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in the periphery upregulate activation markers [87] such as t-bet and pstat1 [75] and secrete proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-2 (IL-2) [76,87] interferon  $\gamma$  (IFN $\gamma$ ) [75] and IL-17 [75,88] as well as the chemokines interferon gamma-induced protein-10 (IP-10) [81,82] and macrophage inflammatory protein-3 $\beta$  (MIP-3 $\beta$ ) [81]. The up-regulation of the adhesion molecules vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) [89], endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1 (ELAM-1) [90], and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) [91] on endothelial cells lining the blood vessels of the nerve is caused by the release of cytokines and chemokines into the circulation. Activated T cells connect with adhesion molecules on endothelial cells, roll along the vessel surface, and then travel across the BNB. These T cells continue to release inflammatory mediators such as matrix metalloproteinases [92] and proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines [76, 80] as they travel through the blood arteries, adding to greater BNB permeability and activation of the immune response inside the nerve. The BNB's breakdown is crucial because it permits soluble factors like antibodies to enter the endoneurium. In individuals with CIDP, MRI gadolinium augmentation of nerve trunks or plexuses might be seen [93]. Inflammatory cells infiltrate Infiltrating inflammatory cells in CIDP sural nerve biopsies include CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, [94] CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, and macrophages [73,95]. The increase of antigen-presenting major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II [72] molecules as well as the costimulatory molecules B7-1 and B7-2 [96, 97] by invading macrophages and Schwann cells facilitates local reactivation of infiltrating T cells. Tumor necrosis factor, interferon, and IL-2 are proinflammatory cytokines that are produced by a range of nerve cell types [98] and exacerbate the immunological response. Macrophages are the most common inflammatory cells that infiltrate endoneurial arteries and form clusters surrounding them [70]. Many components of the immune response are influenced by activated resident and recruited macrophages, including antigen presentation and the production of proinflammatory cytokines and toxic mediators. They also play a key role in the last phases of demyelination by phagocytizing and taking away myelin [99]. Macrophages may be detected infiltrating between the spirals of the Schwann cell plasma membrane, including the outer mesaxon, and breaking down the myelin lamellae by extending elongated processes between the lamellae in ultrastructural investigations of CIDP nerve biopsies [100].

The involvement of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in CIDP pathogenesis is debatable [72]. In CIDP nerves Schwann cells greatly upregulate MHC class I molecules, presumably allowing cytotoxic (CD8<sup>+</sup>) T lymphocytes to be recognised and reactivated. CD8<sup>+</sup> cells can be reactivated inside the endoneurium in some circumstances, such as leprosy, where CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes specific for the bacteria can lyse Schwann cells infected with *Mycobacterium leprae* [101]. Although no foreign or self-antigen has been identified as a CD8<sup>+</sup> target in CIDP, sural nerve biopsies and peripheral blood have shown comparable clonal proliferation of CD8<sup>+</sup> cells [94]. The presence of these CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell clones in the nerve suggests that CIDP is caused by an antigen-driven, CD8<sup>+</sup> cell-mediated assault on the nerve. However, there is little evidence of these CD8<sup>+</sup> cells in direct contact with their target cells in situ, which limits any inferences concerning their involvement as cytotoxic effector cells in CIDP. A recent study of the T cell repertoire in CIDP patients discovered that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were more activated than CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, which was decreased following treatment with IVIg [102]. Despite the fact that no infectious agent has been reliably associated to CIDP, oligoclonal activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> cells is frequently interpreted as evidence of a T cell response to chronic infection. In EAN, CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes play no involvement. Central tolerance and regulatory T cells although self-reactive T cells are mostly destroyed during thymus selection, a small number escape and can cause autoimmune illness. Peripheral tolerance mechanisms, such as the immunosuppressive effect of regulatory T cells, keep these cells in check. There are signs that the immunoregulatory cellular response that controls excessive or inappropriate immune activation is disrupted in CIDP [103,104]. The number of circulating T regulatory cells, as measured by CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25(high)Foxp3<sup>+</sup> markers is decreased [104], and when isolated, they are less efficient in inhibiting proliferative responses than healthy controls [103,104]. The immunological dysfunction found in CIDP might possibly be linked to dysregulation of the regulatory cell compartment.

In a mouse model of CIDP that develops spontaneously in non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice deficient in the costimulatory molecule B7-2, the complexities of the interactions between autoreactive T cells, antigen-presenting cells, and the inflammatory mediators





released during an autoimmune reaction are highlighted [105]. The NOD mouse model was created to investigate the function of T cell costimulation in the development of diabetes. While suppressing B7-2 costimulation protected the mice against diabetes, they developed a spontaneous autoimmune peripheral polyneuropathy (SAPP) that was clinically, electrophysiologically, and histologically comparable to CIDP. This may be seen in NOD mice with a point mutation in the autoimmune regulator (Aire) gene, which results in decreased P0 expression in the thymus and an increase of P0 specific T cells in the peripheral blood [107]. In another NOD model lacking for isoforms of ICAM-1, autoimmunity is transferred to the peripheral nerve [108]. Changed ICAM-1 expression on thymic epithelial cells shifts T cell selection from a diabetogenic to a neuritogenic repertoire [108]. These studies emphasize the importance of regulatory systems in maintaining immunological homeostasis, as well as the influence that alterations in regulation might have on disease development.

## Humoral Mechanisms

Responses of autoantibodies to myelin proteins Plasma exchange's success in the treatment of CIDP suggests that humoral processes are important in the disease's etiology. Furthermore, there is a lot of evidence from biopsy and serological investigations that humoral immune systems are involved. Sural nerve biopsies from certain individuals with CIDP exhibit immunoglobulin and complement deposited on the outer surface of Schwann cells and compact myelin [109,110] and blood from some patients with CIDP may be demonstrated to bind to normal nerve sections using indirect immunofluorescence [111]. Following intraneural injection in the rat serum that had been proven to bind to nerve sections induced demyelination [111] and a decrease in conduction velocity [111,112] in a small fraction of patients who reacted well to plasma exchange. Further tests with this serum revealed that compact myelin protein P0 is the target antigen [113]. However, the specific target of the autoantibody response in the majority of patients is unknown, but due to the striking nature of the demyelination seen in histopathological sections of CIDP nerve, these proteins found in compact myelin have long been thought to be the most likely candidate autoantigens. The EAN animal model, which can be induced in rats using purified myelin proteins P0, [128], P2 [129], and peripheral myelin protein (PMP)-22 [130], supports this viewpoint, demonstrating that an autoimmune response to these autoantigens has the potential to initiate disease and contribute to nerve damage and clinical symptoms. However, after years of research, there is scant evidence that autoantibody reactions to these main myelin proteins have a pathogenic role in the majority of CIDP patients. Although autoantibody reactions to P2 [115], P0 [111,113,114,116], PMP-22 [121], and connexin [119] have been found in CIDP serum in some investigations [117]. Even more controversy surrounds the toxicity of these autoimmune reactions; only those myelin protein antibodies specific for P0 have been demonstrated to be harmful in vivo by intraneural injection [113, 131] and passive transfer [113]. The hunt for autoantibodies reactive to the primary compact myelin proteins in CIDP has been fruitless thus far, and the focus has shifted to other aspects of the myelinated axon. Studies on autoantibody specificity are changing their attention from key myelin proteins to those found in the non-compact myelin, which includes the node of Ranvier, paranode, and juxtaparanode, not just in CIDP but also in some cases of GBS [124,126,132]. The creation and maintenance of the node of Ranvier and paranodal areas of myelinated axons are dependent on axoglial proteins. Gliomedin, neuron glia-related CAM (NrCAM), and neurofascin 186 (NF186) are nodal cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) that are required for the first clustering of Na<sup>+</sup> channels during development [133] and contribute to the long-term maintenance of Na<sup>+</sup> channel clustering in the node of Ranvier [133]. Axoglial junctions between paranodal loops and axonal membrane formed of contactin-1/caspr-1 complexes that bind to Schwann cell neurofascin 155 (NF155) make up the neighbouring paranode [134]. The paranodalseptate connections are formed and maintained by these proteins. Ion channel segregation, paranodal structure, and effective neuronal conduction all need NF155 [135]. These areas are necessary for successful saltatory conduction because they operate as a membrane barrier that prevents ion channel lateral diffusion, ensuring that Na<sup>+</sup> is concentrated at the node and K<sup>+</sup> is concentrated at the juxtaparanode. In numerous antiganglioside-mediated neuropathies, known as 'nodoparanopathies,' this region is targeted by the immune system [136]. Autoantibodies against glycolipids or glycolipid complexes attach to the nodal areas in the AMAN variant of GBS, causing complement fixation and node damage [137,138]. However these antibodies have not been consistently found in the demyelinating variant of GBS, AIDP [139], or in CIDP, and the target(s) in these illnesses remain unknown. Autoantibodies to a variety of proteins found in the nodal areas, such as gliomedin [126], neurofascin [124, 126], contactin-1 [127], caspr1 [127], and moesin [140], have recently been reported in a small percentage of individuals with AIDP and CIDP. According to a recent research, 62 percent of patients with MMN exhibited anti-gliomedin or anti-NF186 antibodies, while 10% of sera lacking anti-GM1 IgM had anti-NF186 antibodies [141]. Indeed, nodal and paranodal areas



are disturbed in CIDP nerve biopsies, and proteins essential for structural integrity are improperly produced and distributed [142]. Schwann cell microvilli and paranodal glial loops with extensive vacuoles in the Schwann cell outer cytoplasm and nodal axoplasm were found aberrant on electron microscopy of nerve samples [142]. Furthermore, punctate immunoreactivity for Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> channels was dispersed along the axon, whereas caspr-1 was diffusely distributed [142]. In addition, as compared to normal controls, cutaneous myelinated nerve fibres had enlarged nodes of Ranvier and broadened neurofascin and caspr staining [143]. Neurofascin and gliomedin disruption occurred before paranodal demyelination and the dispersion of Na<sup>+</sup> channels in EAN models caused by vaccination with PNS myelin [144]. Importantly, these alterations were linked to the production of serum autoantibodies against neurofascin and gliomedin, indicating that these proteins might be immunological targets in some demyelinating neuropathies [144]. Importantly, there is now evidence that nodal antigens have a role in some instances of CIDP. Devaux et al. [126] discovered that 30% of individuals with CIDP have serum IgG that binds to either the nodes of Ranvier or the paranodes in strained nerve fibres, and that the target antigens are neurofascin, gliomedin, or contactin in certain instances. Furthermore, autoantibodies against CAMs have been found in the nodes of Ranvier and paranodal areas of individuals with CIDP in various investigations [123,124,126,127,145]. In CIDP, nodal and paranodal antigens have been identified. Antibodies against the CAM neurofascin have been discovered in 4% of CIDP patients [123,124]. Surprisingly the majority of antibodies discovered were directed against the NF155 isoform of glialneurofascin. While antibodies to glial NF155 and neuronal NF186 can cross-react due to structural similarities [146, 147] neurofascin antibodies in CIDP patients have been specifically targeted against NF155 [123,124]. Plasma exchange proved beneficial in two patients with elevated anti-NF155 (IgG3 isotype) antibody titres [124]. Anti-NF155 reactivity was evaluated in one of these patients throughout the course of the disease and gradually decreased over four years, following which the patient went into remission and was weaned off plasma exchange medication. Antibodies to NF155 have also been found in 5/7 patients with both central and peripheral demyelination [125]. Patients with anti-NF155 antibodies reacted to IVIg after corticosteroids were only partially effective in this trial. On the other hand, corticosteroids were efficacious for PNS and CNS lesions in individuals with mixed central and peripheral demyelination who did not have anti-NF155 antibodies. The high prevalence of anti-NF155 antibodies in combined central and peripheral demyelination, as well as their link to treatment success, suggests that they might be used as a diagnostic and treatment response marker; nevertheless, additional research into these antibodies in this unusual syndrome is needed. Antibodies against NF155 have been found in a subgroup of individuals with CIDP who have the dominant immunoglobulin subtype IgG4 [123]. Anti-NF155 IgG4 antibodies were identified in 2/53 CIDP patients and 0/204 individuals with other neuromuscular illnesses at first. A database was used to identify eight more individuals with CIDP who were resistant to IVIg therapy and were tested for anti-NF155 antibodies. Anti-NF155 IgG4 antibodies were detected in two of eight IVIg-refractory individuals. These individuals had clinical characteristics such as severe distal neuropathy, debilitating tremor, and poor response to therapy. The IgG4 subtype of IgG immunoglobulin has certain unique characteristics that set it apart from the other IgG subclasses [148]. Because of their limited affinity for C1q and Fc receptors, IgG4 antibodies have a lower ability to generate complement and cell activation. Anti-inflammatory IgG4 antibodies are thought to minimise complement-mediated damage and inflammation by combining with other IgG subtypes to bind antigen without activating immunological effector pathways. However, IgG4 antibodies have been proven to be harmful in some cases due to 'antigen blocking' process in which the antibody prevents the bound target antigen from performing crucial activities [124]. In myasthenia gravis, anti-muscle-specific kinase (MuSK) IgG4 antibodies bind directly to MuSK and interfere with its activity, causing synaptic structure and transmission to be disrupted [149]. It might be good to look for anti-NF155 IgG4 antibodies in a bigger group of CIDP patients. Autoantibodies reactive to the axonal contactin-1/caspr complex in the paranode have been found in an additional subgroup of CIDP patients (3/46 vs 0/104 controls with other neurological disorders) [127]. Contactin-1 antibody-positive cases showed an aggressive start of illness, primarily motor symptoms, early axonal involvement, and were either partially or not at all responding to IVIg, necessitating further corticosteroid therapy [127]. In myelinated neuronal cells, disruption of paranodal connections and interference with nodal structure led to nodal enlargement, decreased caspr immunostaining, and lower conduction velocity, indicating a pathogenic function for these contactin-1 antibodies [150].

### Pathophysiological Significance of Autoantibodies

Despite recent progress, further research is needed to fully understand the pathophysiological implications of autoantibodies directed towards nodal areas. The molecular and anatomical complexity of the node of Ranvier and adjacent paranodes and juxtaparanodes



now clearly impacts an antibody's capacity to bind in vivo, and therefore the response's potential pathogenicity. Antibodies against both the NF155 and NF186 isoforms of neurofascin can bind to the proteins when produced on the surface of transfected cells utilising in vitro experiments in the event of neurofascin autoimmunity. Antibodies to NF155 are unable to bind to either neurofascin isoform in vivo in EAE experimental models, suggesting that nodal NF186 is the predominant target [145,147,151]. Anti-NF155 antibodies' ability to bind in vivo might be hampered by steric hindrance produced by nearby interacting proteins [151] or by the paranode's limited accessibility to circulating antibodies. Because NF155 is located at the paranodal level, it may be essential to damage the paranodal structure before autoantibodies may bind in vivo [134]. NF155 may become accessible after demyelination, implying that such antibodies may contribute to pathogenicity after demyelination rather than directly cause demyelination. Antibodies against NF155 have been shown to suppress myelination in vitro by disrupting the caspr/contactin/NF155 complex [152], suggesting that they may play a role in inhibiting remyelination[152]. This disagreement emphasizes the need of thoroughly understanding the intricate interactions between axons and Schwann cells at the molecular and anatomical levels before drawing significant conclusions about the therapeutic consequences. Interactions at the molecular level might affect the capacity to identify autoantibody reactions. Individuals with the axonal AMAN disease variety show reactivity to single glycolipid molecules, while patients with GBS with demyelinating illness do not, according to recent research on the identification of antibodies to gangliosides in the sera of GBS patients [153]. There is a greater possibility of finding response to complexes of two distinct glycolipids in some cases, which might indicate glycolipid 'pattern recognition' as they are oriented in live brain membranes [139,154]. Given that many proteins in the axoglial junction form complexes with proteins in the Schwann cell membrane, a similar process might be at work in the identification or access to binding sites on proteins produced at the node and paranode. As previously mentioned, autoantibody reactivity to the paranodal protein contactin-1 has been reported in 3/46 individuals with CIDP. Reactivity was discovered using contactin-1 alone in two of these individuals, but it could only be detected when it was in combination with caspr1 in the other [127]. In light of these findings, tests to detect pathologically relevant antibody responses must take into account the anatomical location and molecular interactions of possible autoantigens. Furthermore, discrepancies in the autoantibody response detection techniques utilised by different researchers, such as ELISA versus cell-based assays, protein complexes versus individual proteins, and rat versus human protein, make interpretation and confirmation of data more challenging. The question of whether these nodal proteins are the primary target of the immune response or whether autoantibodies to these molecules are an epiphenomenon produced when self-peptides are released after nerve damage due to an inflammatory response.

## Functional Significance of Nodal Disruption in CIDP

While more research on the pathophysiology of nodal antigenic targets in CIDP is needed, any disturbance of nodal function is likely to alter normal nerve excitability and membrane potentials, contributing to conduction failure by interfering with saltatory conduction and ion channel function. Axonal excitability investigations in individuals with CIDP have revealed a variety of data revealing abnormal membrane excitability and membrane potential, supporting this theory [38,155,156]. These findings show that CIDP patients have altered axonal function, which might be due to autoantibody interaction with the Ranvier node. Immunotherapy may aid recovery after nodal disruption by removing antibodies from circulation or interfering with antibody effector mechanisms, giving a mechanism to account for the quick recovery reported in certain patients following treatment that is not consistent with demyelination [112,157]. As a result of the IVIg maintenance treatments, cyclical regulation of axonal excitability has been established [156]. While the safety factor of transmission normally guarantees that the magnitude of current at the nodes of Ranvier is more than five times that necessary for action potential propagation [158], demyelination diminishes the safety factor, lowering the axon's capacity to hold charge [159]. The demands of a high impulse load during regular activity may shift the balance even further towards conduction failure, making more susceptible to it during exercise. In individuals with CIDP, maximum voluntary contraction has been shown to diminish CMAP amplitude [160,161] and increase temporal dispersion [162]. Motor axons are more prone to conduction failure than sensory axons because they have less tolerance to hyperpolarising membrane potential changes [163]. In comparison to sensory axons, motor axons have a lower activation of the hyperpolarisation triggered cation current  $I_h$  and a hyperpolarised membrane potential, rendering them less able to react to subsequent hyperpolarization and more susceptible to conduction failure [164]. Treatment response may be influenced by these biophysical features. Following corticosteroid therapy, patients with motor dominant CIDP and MMN may have clinical deterioration [56,66]. Patients with typical CIDP, focused demyelination, and decreased sensory electrophysiological abnormalities were also more likely to worsen with corticosteroid



therapy, albeit these findings need to be verified in a larger sample [165]. Corticosteroids have been shown to increase the activity of the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> pump in motor neurons, resulting in hyperpolarization of the resting membrane potential [166-168]. In human skeletal muscle fibres, steroid treatment enhances Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> pump activity and expression [169]. Motor axons with localised demyelination or conduction block may be more sensitive to the extra load on normal membrane excitability caused by corticosteroid therapy, and hence more prone to conduction failure and block [165].

## CONCLUSIONS

Despite substantial research, a unifying immunopathological mechanism for acute or chronic inflammatory demyelinating neuropathies has yet to be discovered. On the other hand, the clinical spectrum of CIDP shows substantial phenotypic diversity, indicating that several immunopathological processes are at work. As seen by the present interest in newly characterized antibodies targeting nodal and paranodal antigens, further progress in understanding the pathophysiology of CIDP may come from a 'splitting' rather than a 'lumping' strategy. While these antibodies are only found in a small percentage of patients (2–5%), they may help us understand the pathophysiology of CIDP and its variations, establish subtypes of CIDP that react to different methods of immunomodulation, and offer repeatable biomarkers for disease and therapy monitoring. The discovery of different pathogenic mechanisms underlying subtypes of the central demyelinating disorder MS, which occurred more than 20 years ago, led to major advances in our understanding of that disorder, and the more recent discovery of different pathogenic mechanisms underlying subtypes of GBS has shown that unique treatment regimes are required for these different pathological processes. Although more research is needed to fully understand the immunopathogenesis of the majority of CIDP patients, substantial progress has been achieved, which should lead to better patient categorization and in turn better therapy.

## REFERENCES

1. McLeod JG, Pollard JD, Macaskill P, et al. . Prevalence of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy in New South Wales, Australia. *Ann Neurol* 1999;46:910–13. 10.1002/1531-8249(199912)46:6<910::AID-ANA14>3.0.CO;2-2.
2. Chio A, Cocito D, Bottacchi E, et al. . Idiopathic chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: an epidemiological study in Italy. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2007;78:1349–53. 10.1136/jnnp.2007.114868.
3. Iijima M, Koike H, Hattori N, et al. . Prevalence and incidence rates of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy in the Japanese population. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2008;79:1040–3. 10.1136/jnnp.2007.128132.
4. Lunn MP, Manji H, Choudhary PP, et al. . Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a prevalence study in south east England. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;66:677–80. 10.1136/jnnp.66.5.677.
5. Mahdi-Rogers M, Hughes RA. Epidemiology of chronic inflammatory neuropathies in southeast England. *Eur J Neurol* 2014;21:28–33. 10.1111/ene.12190.
6. Rajabally YA, Simpson BS, Beri S, et al. . Epidemiologic variability of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with different diagnostic criteria: study of a UK population. *Muscle Nerve* 2009;39:432–8. 10.1002/mus.21206.
7. Van den Bergh PY, Hadden RD, Bouche P, et al. . European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on management of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society—first revision. *Eur J Neurol* 2010;17:356–63. 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02930.x.
8. Busby M, Donaghy M. Chronic dysimmune neuropathy. A subclassification based upon the clinical features of 102 patients. *J Neurol* 2003;250:714–24. 10.1007/s00415-003-1068-2.
9. Viala K, Maisonobe T, Stojkovic T, et al. . A current view of the diagnosis, clinical variants, response to treatment and prognosis of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2010;15:50–6. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2010.00251.x.
10. Rotta FT, Sussman AT, Bradley WG, et al. . The spectrum of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J NeurolSci* 2000;173:129–39. 10.1016/S0022-510X(99)00317-2.
11. Aygnac X, Viala K, Koutlidis RM, et al. . Sensory chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: an under-recognized entity? *Muscle Nerve* 2013;48:727–32. 10.1002/mus.23821.





12. Ohkoshi N, Harada K, Nagata H, et al. . Ataxic form of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: clinical features and pathological study of the sural nerves. *EurNeurol* 2001;45:241–8. 10.1159/000052136.
13. Gorson KC, Allam G, Ropper AH. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: clinical features and response to treatment in 67 consecutive patients with and without a monoclonal gammopathy. *Neurology* 1997;48:321–8. 10.1212/WNL.48.2.321.
14. Lewis RA, Sumner AJ, Brown MJ, et al. . Multifocal demyelinating neuropathy with persistent conduction block. *Neurology* 1982;32:958–64. 10.1212/WNL.32.9.958.
15. Thomas PK, Claus D, Jaspert A, et al. . Focal upper limb demyelinating neuropathy. *Brain* 1996;119(Pt 3):765–74. 10.1093/brain/119.3.765.
16. McCombe PA, Pollard JD, McLeod JG. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. A clinical and electrophysiological study of 92 cases. *Brain* 1987;110(Pt 6):1617–30. 10.1093/brain/110.6.1617.
17. Ruts L, Drenth J, Jacobs BC, et al. . Distinguishing acute-onset CIDP from fluctuating Guillain-Barré syndrome: a prospective study. *Neurology* 2010;74:1680–6. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181e07d14.
18. Odaka M, Yuki N, Hirata K. Patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy initially diagnosed as Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurol* 2003;250:913–16. 10.1007/s00415-003-1096-y.
19. Said G, Krarup C. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *HandbClinNeurol* 2013;115:403–13. 10.1016/B978-0-444-52902-2.00022-9.
20. Nobile-Orazio E. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy and variants: where we are and where we should go. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2014;19:2–13. 10.1111/jns5.12053.
21. Oh SJ, Joy JL, Kuruoglu R. “Chronic sensory demyelinating neuropathy”: chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy presenting as a pure sensory neuropathy. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55:677–80. 10.1136/jnnp.55.8.677.
22. Rajabally YA, Wong SL. Chronic inflammatory pure sensory polyradiculoneuropathy: a rare CIDP variant with unusual electrophysiology. *J ClinNeuromuscul Dis* 2012;13:149–52. 10.1097/CND.0b013e31822484fb.
23. vanDijk GW, Notermans NC, Franssen H, et al. . Development of weakness in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy and only sensory symptoms at presentation: a long-term follow-up study. *J Neurol* 1999;246:1134–9. 10.1007/s004150050531.
24. Sinnreich M, Klein CJ, Daube JR, et al. . Chronic immune sensory polyradiculopathy: a possibly treatable sensory ataxia. *Neurology* 2004;63:1662–9. 10.1212/01.WNL.0000142507.12763.58.
25. Yiannikas C, Vucic S. Utility of somatosensory evoked potentials in chronic acquired demyelinating neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;38:1447–54. 10.1002/mus.21078.
26. Katz JS, Saperstein DS, Gronseth G, et al. . Distal acquired demyelinating symmetric neuropathy. *Neurology* 2000;54:615–20. 10.1212/WNL.54.3.615.
27. Saperstein DS, Katz JS, Amato AA, et al. . Clinical spectrum of chronic acquired demyelinating polyneuropathies. *Muscle Nerve* 2001;24:311–24. 10.1002/1097-4598(200103)24:3<311::AID-MUS1001>3.0.CO;2-A.
28. Larue S, Bombelli F, Viala K, et al. . Non-anti-MAG DADS neuropathy as a variant of CIDP: clinical, electrophysiological, laboratory features and response to treatment in 10 cases. *Eur J Neurol* 2011;18:899–905. 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03312.x.
29. Sabatelli M, Madia F, Mignogna T, et al. . Pure motor chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol* 2001;248:772–7. 10.1007/s004150170093.
30. Kimura A, Sakurai T, Koumura A, et al. . Motor-dominant chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol* 2010;257:621–9. 10.1007/s00415-009-5386-x.
31. Hattori N, Misu K, Koike H, et al. . Age of onset influences clinical features of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J NeurolSci* 2001;184:57–63. 10.1016/S0022-510X(00)00493-7.
32. Rajabally YA, Chavada G. Lewis-sumner syndrome of pure upper-limb onset: diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic features. *Muscle Nerve* 2009;39:206–20. 10.1002/mus.21199.



33. Saperstein DS, Amato AA, Wolfe GI, et al. . Multifocal acquired demyelinating sensory and motor neuropathy: the Lewis-Sumner syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 1999;22:560–6. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4598(199905)22:5<560::AID-MUS2>3.0.CO;2-Q.
34. Viala K, Renie L, Maisonobe T, et al. . Follow-up study and response to treatment in 23 patients with Lewis-Sumner syndrome. *Brain* 2004;127:2010–17. 10.1093/brain/awh222.
35. Verma A, Tandan R, Adesina AM, et al. . Focal neuropathy preceding chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy by several years. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1990;81:516–21. 10.1111/j.1600-0404.1990.tb01011.x.
36. Aygnac X, Rodrigues BS, Morales R, et al. . Focal CIDP presenting as chronic progressive monomelic sensory neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2013;47: 143–4. 10.1002/mus.23541.
37. Asbury AK, Cornblath DR. Assessment of current diagnostic criteria for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(Suppl):S21–4. 10.1002/ana.410270707.
38. Sung JY, Tani J, Park SB, et al. . Early identification of ‘acute-onset’ chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Brain* 2014;137:2155–63. 10.1093/brain/awu158.
39. Hughes R, Sanders E, Hall S, et al. . Subacute idiopathic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Arch Neurol* 1992;49:612–16. 10.1001/archneur.1992.00530300044009.
40. Oh SJ, Kurokawa K, de Almeida DF, et al. . Subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 2003;61:1507–12. 10.1212/01.WNL.0000096166.28131.4C.
41. Rodriguez-Casero MV, Shield LK, Kornberg AJ. Subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy in children. *Neurology* 2005;64:1786–8. 10.1212/01.WNL.0000162047.89440.B9.
42. Joint Task Force of the EFNS and the PNS. European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society Guideline on management of paraproteinemic demyelinating neuropathies. Report of a Joint Task Force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society—first revision. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2010;15:185–95. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2010.00278.x.
43. Niermeijer JM, Fischer K, Eurelings M, et al. . Prognosis of polyneuropathy due to IgM monoclonal gammopathy: a prospective cohort study. *Neurology* 2010;74:406–12. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181ccc6b9.
44. Nobile-Orazio E, Manfredini E, Carpo M, et al. . Frequency and clinical correlates of anti-neural IgM antibodies in neuropathy associated with IgM monoclonal gammopathy. *Ann Neurol* 1994;36:416–24. 10.1002/ana.410360313.
45. Nobile-Orazio E, Meucci N, Baldini L, et al. . Long-term prognosis of neuropathy associated with anti-MAG IgM M-proteins and its relationship to immune therapies. *Brain* 2000;123(Pt 4):710–17. 10.1093/brain/123.4.710.
46. Rajabally YA. Neuropathy and paraproteins: review of a complex association. *Eur J Neurol* 2011;18:1291–8. 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2011.03380.x.
47. Kaku DA, England JD, Sumner AJ. Distal accentuation of conduction slowing in polyneuropathy associated with antibodies to myelin-associated glycoprotein and sulphated glucuronylparagloboside. *Brain* 1994;117(Pt 5):941–7. 10.1093/brain/117.5.941.
48. Suarez GA, Kelly JJ Jr. Polyneuropathy associated with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance: further evidence that IgM-MGUS neuropathies are different than IgG-MGUS. *Neurology* 1993;43:1304–8. 10.1212/WNL.43.7.1304.
49. Magy L, Chassande B, Maisonobe T, et al. . Polyneuropathy associated with IgG/IgA monoclonal gammopathy: a clinical and electrophysiological study of 15 cases. *Eur J Neurol* 2003;10:677–85. 10.1046/j.1468-1331.2003.00687.x.
50. Willison HJ, O’Leary CP, Veitch J, et al. . The clinical and laboratory features of chronic sensory ataxic neuropathy with anti-disialosyl IgM antibodies. *Brain* 2001;124:1968–77. 10.1093/brain/124.10.1968.
51. Nobile-Orazio E, Gallia F, Terenghi F, et al. . How useful are anti-neural IgM antibodies in the diagnosis of chronic immune-mediated neuropathies? *J NeurolSci* 2008;266:156–63. 10.1016/j.jns.2007.09.020.
52. Kam C, Balaratnam MS, Purves A, et al. . Canomad presenting without ophthalmoplegia and responding to intravenous immunoglobulin. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;44:829–33. 10.1002/mus.22167.
53. Nasu S, Misawa S, Sekiguchi Y, et al. . Different neurological and physiological profiles in POEMS syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;83:476–9. 10.1136/jnnp-2011-301706.



54. Nobile-Orazio E. Neuropathy and monoclonal gammopathy. In: Said G, Krarup C. *Handbook of clinical neurology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2013:443–59.
55. Watanabe O, Maruyama I, Arimura K, et al. . Overproduction of vascular endothelial growth factor/vascular permeability factor is causative in Crow-Fukase (POEMS) syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 1998;21:1390–7. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4598(199811)21:11<1390::AID-MUS5>3.0.CO;2-4.
56. Joint Task Force of the EFNS and the PNS. European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on management of multifocal motor neuropathy. Report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society—first revision. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2010;15:295–301. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2010.00290.x.
57. Arcila-Londono X, Lewis RA. Multifocal Motor Neuropathy. In: Said G, Krarup C. *Handbook of clinical neurology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2013:429–42.
58. Taylor BV, Dyck PJ, Engelstad J, et al. . Multifocal motor neuropathy: pathologic alterations at the site of conduction block. *J NeuropatholExpNeurol* 2004;63:129–37.
59. Taylor BV, Wright RA, Harper CM, et al. . Natural history of 46 patients with multifocal motor neuropathy with conduction block. *Muscle Nerve* 2000;23:900–8. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4598(200006)23:6<900::AID-MUS9>3.0.CO;2-Y.
60. Pestronk A, Choksi R. Multifocal motor neuropathy. Serum IgM anti-GM1 ganglioside antibodies in most patients detected using covalent linkage of GM1 to ELISA plates. *Neurology* 1997;49:1289–92. 10.1212/WNL.49.5.1289.
61. vanSchaik IN, Bossuyt PM, Brand A, et al. . Diagnostic value of GM1 antibodies in motor neuron disorders and neuropathies: a meta-analysis. *Neurology* 1995;45:1570–7. 10.1212/WNL.45.8.1570.
62. Cats EA, Jacobs BC, Yuki N, et al. . Multifocal motor neuropathy: association of anti-GM1 IgM antibodies with clinical features. *Neurology* 2010;75:1961–7. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181ff94c2.
63. Galban-Horcajo F, Fitzpatrick AM, Hutton AJ, et al. . Antibodies to heteromeric glycolipid complexes in multifocal motor neuropathy. *Eur J Neurol* 2013;20:62–70. 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2012.03767.x.
64. Nobile-Orazio E, Cappellari A, Priori A. Multifocal motor neuropathy: current concepts and controversies. *Muscle Nerve* 2005;31:663–80. 10.1002/mus.20296.
65. Willison HJ, Veitch J, Swan AV, et al. . Inter-laboratory validation of an ELISA for the determination of serum anti-ganglioside antibodies. *Eur J Neurol* 1999;6:71–7. 10.1046/j.1468-1331.1999.610071.x.
66. Donaghy M, Mills KR, Boniface SJ, et al. . Pure motor demyelinating neuropathy: deterioration after steroid treatment and improvement with intravenous immunoglobulin. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 1994;57:778–83. 10.1136/jnnp.57.7.778.
67. Breiner A, Brannagan TH III. Comparison of sensitivity and specificity among 15 criteria for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2014;50:40–6. 10.1002/mus.24088.
68. Rajabally YA, Fowle AJ, Van den Bergh PY. Which criteria for research in CIDP? An analysis of current practice. *Muscle Nerve* 2014. 10.1002/mus.24496 (In press).
69. Prineas JW, McLeod JG. Chronic relapsing polyneuritis. *J NeurolSci* 1976;27:427–58. 10.1016/0022-510X(76)90213-6.
70. Sommer C, Koch S, Lammens M, et al. . Macrophage clustering as a diagnostic marker in sural nerve biopsies of patients with CIDP. *Neurology* 2005;65:1924–9. 10.1212/01.wnl.0000188879.19900.b7.
71. Bosboom WM, van den Berg LH, De BL, et al. . The diagnostic value of sural nerve T cells in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 1999;53:837–45. 10.1212/WNL.53.4.837.
72. Pollard JD, McCombe PA, Baverstock J, et al. . Class II antigen expression and T lymphocyte subsets in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 1986;13:123–34. 10.1016/0165-5728(86)90059-7.
73. Schmidt B, Toyka KV, Kiefer R, et al. . Inflammatory infiltrates in sural nerve biopsies in Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 1996;19:474–87. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4598(199604)19:4<474::AID-MUS8>3.0.CO;2-9.
74. Chi LJ, Xu WH, Zhang ZW, et al. . Distribution of Th17 cells and Th1 cells in peripheral blood and cerebrospinal fluid in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2010;15:345–56. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2010.00294.x.



75. Madia F, Frisullo G, Nociti V, et al. . pSTAT1, pSTAT3, and T-bet as markers of disease activity in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2009;14:107–17. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2009.00220.x.
76. Hartung HP, Reiners K, Schmidt B, et al. . Serum interleukin-2 concentrations in Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic idiopathic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: comparison with other neurological diseases of presumed immunopathogenesis. *Ann Neurol* 1991;30:48–53. 10.1002/ana.410300110.
77. Rentzos M, Angeli AV, Rombos A, et al. . Proinflammatory cytokines in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of CIDP patients. *Neurol Res* 2012;34:842–6. 10.1179/1743132812Y.0000000074.
78. Gironi M, Saresella M, Marventano I, et al. . Distinct cytokine patterns associated with different forms of chronic dysimmune neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2010;42:864–70. 10.1002/mus.21786.
79. Sainaghi PP, Collimiedaglia L, Alciato F, et al. . The expression pattern of inflammatory mediators in cerebrospinal fluid differentiates Guillain-Barré syndrome from chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Cytokine* 2010;51:138–43. 10.1016/j.cyto.2010.05.005.
80. Misawa S, Kuwabara S, Mori M, et al. . Serum levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 2001;56:666–9. 10.1212/WNL.56.5.666.
81. Press R, Pashenkov M, Jin JP, et al. . Aberrated levels of cerebrospinal fluid chemokines in Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J ClinImmunol* 2003;23:259–67. 10.1023/A:1024532715775.
82. Kieseier BC, Tani M, Mahad D, et al. . Chemokines and chemokine receptors in inflammatory demyelinating neuropathies: a central role for IP-10. *Brain* 2002;125:823–34. 10.1093/brain/awf070.
83. Linington C, Lassmann H, Ozawa K, et al. . Cell adhesion molecules of the immunoglobulin supergene family as tissue-specific autoantigens: induction of experimental allergic neuritis (EAN) by P0 protein-specific T cell lines. *Eur J Immunol* 1992;22:1813–17. 10.1002/eji.1830220721.
84. Pollard JD, Westland KW, Harvey GK, et al. . Activated T cells of nonneural specificity open the blood-nerve barrier to circulating antibody. *Ann Neurol* 1995;37:467–75. 10.1002/ana.410370409.
85. Spies JM, Westland KW, Bonner JG, et al. . Intraneural activated T cells cause focal breakdown of the blood-nerve barrier. *Brain* 1995;118(Pt 4):857–68. 10.1093/brain/118.4.857.
86. Spies JM, Pollard JD, Bonner JG, et al. . Synergy between antibody and P2-reactive T cells in experimental allergic neuritis. *J Neuroimmunol* 1995;57:77–84. 10.1016/0165-5728(94)00164-J.
87. van den Berg LH, Mollee I, Wokke JH, et al. . Increased frequencies of HPRT mutant T lymphocytes in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: further evidence for a role of T cells in the etiopathogenesis of peripheral demyelinating diseases. *J Neuroimmunol* 1995;58:37–42. 10.1016/0165-5728(94)00185-Q.
88. Mei FJ, Ishizu T, Murai H, et al. . Th1 shift in CIDP versus Th2 shift in vasculitic neuropathy in CSF. *J NeurolSci* 2005;228:75–85. 10.1016/j.jns.2004.10.001.
89. Archelos JJ, Previtali SC, Hartung HP. The role of integrins in immune-mediated diseases of the nervous system. *Trends Neurosci* 1999;22:30–8. 10.1016/S0166-2236(98)01287-9.
90. Oka N, Akiguchi I, Nagao M, et al. . Expression of endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1 (ELAM-1) in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 1994;44:946–50. 10.1212/WNL.44.5.946.
91. Musso AM, Zanusso GL, Bonazzi ML, et al. . Increased serum levels of ICAM-1, ELAM-1 and TNF-alpha in inflammatory disorders of the peripheral nervous system. *Ital J NeurolSci* 1994;15:267–71. 10.1007/BF02339236.
92. Leppert D, Hughes P, Huber S, et al. . Matrix metalloproteinase upregulation in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy and nonsystemicvasculitic neuropathy. *Neurology* 1999;53:62–70. 10.1212/WNL.53.1.62.
93. Kuwabara S, Nakajima M, Matsuda S, et al. . Magnetic resonance imaging at the demyelinative foci in chronic inflammatory demyelinatingpolyneuropathy. *Neurology* 1997;48:874–7. 10.1212/WNL.48.4.874.
94. Schneider-Hohendorf T, Schwab N, Uceyler N, et al. . CD8+ T-cell immunity in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Neurology* 2012;78:402–8. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318245d250.





95. Cornblath DR, Griffin DE, Welch D, et al. . Quantitative analysis of endoneurial T-cells in human sural nerve biopsies. *J Neuroimmunol* 1990;26:113–18. 10.1016/0165-5728(90)90082-X.
96. Kiefer R, Dangond F, Mueller M, et al. . Enhanced B7 costimulatory molecule expression in inflammatory human sural nerve biopsies. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;69:362–8. 10.1136/jnnp.69.3.362.
97. Murata K, Dalakas MC. Expression of the co-stimulatory molecule BB-1, the ligands CTLA-4 and CD28 and their mRNAs in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Brain* 2000;123(Pt 8):1660–6. 10.1093/brain/123.8.1660.
98. Mathey EK, Pollard JD, Armati PJ. TNF alpha, IFN gamma and IL-2 mRNA expression in CIDP sural nerve biopsies. *J NeurolSci* 1999;163:47–52. 10.1016/S0022-510X(99)00009-X.
99. Kiefer R, Kieseier BC, Stoll G, et al. . The role of macrophages in immune-mediated damage to the peripheral nervous system. *ProgNeurobiol* 2001;64:109–27. 10.1016/S0301-0082(00)00060-5.
100. Vital C, Vital A, Lagueny A, et al. . Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: immunopathological and ultrastructural study of peripheral nerve biopsy in 42 cases. *UltrastructPathol* 2000;24:363–9. 10.1080/019131200750060023.
101. Steinhoff U, Kaufmann SH. Specific lysis by CD8+ T cells of Schwann cells expressing Mycobacterium leprae antigens. *Eur J Immunol* 1988;18:969–72. 10.1002/eji.1830180622.
102. Mausberg AK, Dorok M, Stettner M, et al. . Recovery of the T-cell repertoire in CIDP by IV immunoglobulins. *Neurology* 2013;80:296–303. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827debad.
103. Sanvito L, Makowska A, Gregson N, et al. . Circulating subsets and CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cell function in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Autoimmunity* 2009;42:667–77. 10.3109/08916930903140907.
104. Chi LJ, Wang HB, Wang WZ. Impairment of circulating CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2008;13:54–63. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2008.00158.x.
105. Salomon B, Rhee L, Bour-Jordan H, et al. . Development of spontaneous autoimmune peripheral polyneuropathy in B7-2-deficient NOD mice. *J Exp Med* 2001;194:677–84. 10.1084/jem.194.5.677.
106. Louvet C, Kabre BG, Davini DW, et al. . A novel myelin P0-specific T cell receptor transgenic mouse develops a fulminant autoimmune peripheral neuropathy. *J Exp Med* 2009;206:507–14. 10.1084/jem.20082113.
107. Su MA, Davini D, Cheng P, et al. . Defective autoimmune regulator-dependent central tolerance to myelin protein zero is linked to autoimmune peripheral neuropathy. *J Immunol* 2012;188:4906–12. 10.4049/jimmunol.1200493.
108. Meyer zu HG, Mausberg AK, Cordes S, et al. . Thymic epithelium determines a spontaneous chronic neuritis in Icam1tm1JcgrNOD Mice. *J Immunol* 2014;193:2678–90. 10.4049/jimmunol.1400367.
109. Dalakas MC, Engel WK. Immunoglobulin and complement deposits in nerves of patients with chronic relapsing polyneuropathy. *Arch Neurol* 1980;37:637–40. 10.1001/archneur.1980.00500590061010.
110. Hays AP, Lee SS, Latov N. Immune reactive C3d on the surface of myelin sheaths in neuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 1988;18:231–44. 10.1016/0165-5728(88)90101-4.
111. Yan WX, Taylor J, Ndrias-Kauba S, et al. . Passive transfer of demyelination by serum or IgG from chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy patients. *Ann Neurol* 2000;47:765–75. 10.1002/1531-8249(200006)47:6<765::AID-ANA9>3.0.CO;2-8.
112. Heininger K, Liebert UG, Toyka KV, et al. . Chronic inflammatory polyneuropathy. Reduction of nerve conduction velocities in monkeys by systemic passive transfer of immunoglobulin G. *J NeurolSci* 1984;66:1–14. 10.1016/0022-510X(84)90136-9.
113. Yan WX, Archelos JJ, Hartung HP, et al. . P0 protein is a target antigen in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 2001;50:286–92. 10.1002/ana.1129.
114. Allen D, Giannopoulos K, Gray I, et al. . Antibodies to peripheral nerve myelin proteins in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2005;10:174–80. 10.1111/j.1085-9489.2005.0010207.x.
115. Inglis HR, Csurhes PA, McCombe PA. Antibody responses to peptides of peripheral nerve myelin proteins P0 and P2 in patients with inflammatory demyelinating neuropathy. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2007;78:419–22. 10.1136/jnnp.2006.106617.



116. Khalili-Shirazi A, Atkinson P, Gregson N, et al. . Antibody responses to P0 and P2 myelin proteins in Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic idiopathic demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 1993;46:245–51. 10.1016/0165-5728(93)90255-W.
117. Sanvito L, Makowska A, Mahdi-Rogers M, et al. . Humoral and cellular immune responses to myelin protein peptides in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80:333–8. 10.1136/jnnp.2008.159798.
118. Quarles RH, Ilyas AA, Willison HJ. Antibodies to gangliosides and myelin proteins in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(Suppl):S48–52. 10.1002/ana.410270713.
119. Kwa MS, van Schaik IN, Brand A, et al. . Investigation of serum response to PMP22, connexin 32 and P(0) in inflammatory neuropathies. *J Neuroimmunol* 2001;116:220–5. 10.1016/S0165-5728(01)00307-1.
120. Melendez-Vasquez C, Redford J, Choudhary PP, et al. . Immunological investigation of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 1997;73:124–34. 10.1016/S0165-5728(96)00189-0.
121. Gabriel CM, Gregson NA, Hughes RA. Anti-PMP22 antibodies in patients with inflammatory neuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 2000;104:139–46. 10.1016/S0165-5728(99)00269-6.
122. Ritz MF, Lechner-Scott J, Scott RJ, et al. . Characterisation of autoantibodies to peripheral myelin protein 22 in patients with hereditary and acquired neuropathies. *J Neuroimmunol* 2000;104:155–63. 10.1016/S0165-5728(99)00250-7.
123. Querol L, Nogales-Gadea G, Rojas-Garcia R, et al. . Neurofascin IgG4 antibodies in CIDP associate with disabling tremor and poor response to IVIg. *Neurology* 2014;82:879–86. 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000205.
124. Ng JK, Malotka J, Kawakami N, et al. . Neurofascin as a target for autoantibodies in peripheral neuropathies. *Neurology* 2012;79:2241–8. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827689ad.
125. Kawamura N, Yamasaki R, Yonekawa T, et al. . Anti-neurofascin antibody in patients with combined central and peripheral demyelination. *Neurology* 2013;81:714–22. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a1aa9c.
126. Devaux JJ, Odaka M, Yuki N. Nodal proteins are target antigens in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2012;17:62–71. 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2012.00372.x.
127. Querol L, Nogales-Gadea G, Rojas-Garcia R, et al. . Antibodies to contactin-1 in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 2013;73:370–80. 10.1002/ana.23794.
128. Milner P, Lovelidge CA, Taylor WA, et al. . P0 myelin protein produces experimental allergic neuritis in Lewis rats. *J NeurolSci* 1987;79:275–85. 10.1016/0022-510X(87)90235-8.
129. Kadlubowski M, Hughes RA. Identification of the neuritogen for experimental allergic neuritis. *Nature* 1979;277:140–1. 10.1038/277140a0.
130. Gabriel CM, Hughes RA, Moore SE, et al. . Induction of experimental autoimmune neuritis with peripheral myelin protein-22. *Brain* 1998;121(Pt 10):1895–902. 10.1093/brain/121.10.1895.
131. Hughes RA, Powell HC, Braheny SL, et al. . Endoneurial injection of antisera to myelin antigens. *Muscle Nerve* 1985;8:516–22. 10.1002/mus.880080607.
132. Devaux JJ. Antibodies to gliomedin cause peripheral demyelinating neuropathy and the dismantling of the nodes of Ranvier. *Am J Pathol* 2012;181:1402–13. 10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.06.034.
133. Amor V, Feinberg K, Eshed-Eisenbach Y, et al. . Long-term maintenance of Na<sup>+</sup> channels at nodes of Ranvier depends on glial contact mediated by gliomedin and NrCAM. *J Neurosci* 2014;34:5089–98. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4752-13.2014.
134. Salzer JL, Brophy PJ, Peles E. Molecular domains of myelinated axons in the peripheral nervous system. *Glia* 2008;56:1532–40. 10.1002/glia.20750.
135. Thaxton C, Pillai AM, Pribisko AL, et al. . In vivo deletion of immunoglobulin domains 5 and 6 in neurofascin (Nfasc) reveals domain-specific requirements in myelinated axons. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:4868–76. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5951-09.2010.
136. Uncini A, Susuki K, Yuki N. Nodo-paranodopathy: beyond the demyelinating and axonal classification in anti-ganglioside antibody-mediated neuropathies. *ClinNeurophysiol* 2013;124:1928–34. 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.03.025.
137. Chavada G, Willison HJ. Autoantibodies in immune-mediated neuropathies. *CurrOpinNeurol* 2012;25:550–5. 10.1097/WCO.0b013e328357a77f.



138. McGonigal R, Rowan EG, Greenshields KN, et al. . Anti-GD1a antibodies activate complement and calpain to injure distal motor nodes of Ranvier in mice. *Brain* 2010;133:1944–60. 10.1093/brain/awq119.
139. Shahrizaila N, Kokubun N, Sawai S, et al. . Antibodies to single glycolipids and glycolipid complexes in Guillain-Barré syndrome subtypes. *Neurology* 2014;83:118–24. 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000577.
140. Sawai S, Satoh M, Mori M, et al. . Moesin is a possible target molecule for cytomegalovirus-related Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 2014;83:113–17. 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000566.
141. Notturmo F, Di FT, Yuki N, et al. . Autoantibodies to neurofascin-186 and gliomedin in multifocal motor neuropathy. *J Neuroimmunol* 2014;276:207–12. 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2014.09.001.
142. Cifuentes-Diaz C, Dubourg O, Irinopoulou T, et al. . Nodes of Ranvier and paranodes in chronic acquired neuropathies. *PLoS ONE* 2011;6:e14533 10.1371/journal.pone.0014533.
143. Doppler K, Werner C, Sommer C. Disruption of nodal architecture in skin biopsies of patients with demyelinating neuropathies. *J PeripherNervSyst* 2013;18:168–76. 10.1111/jns5.12023.
144. Lonigo A, Devaux JJ. Disruption of neurofascin and gliomedin at nodes of Ranvier precedes demyelination in experimental allergic neuritis. *Brain* 2009;132:260–73. 10.1093/brain/awn281.
145. Yan W, Nguyen T, Yuki N, et al. . Antibodies to neurofascin exacerbate adoptive transfer experimental autoimmune neuritis. *J Neuroimmunol* 2014;277:13–17. 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2014.09.012.
146. Davis JQ, Lambert S, Bennett V. Molecular composition of the node of Ranvier: identification of ankyrin-binding cell adhesion molecules neurofascin (mucin+/third FNIII domain-) and NrCAM at nodal axon segments. *J Cell Biol* 1996;135:1355–67. 10.1083/jcb.135.5.1355.
147. Mathey EK, Derfuss T, Storch MK, et al. . Neurofascin as a novel target for autoantibody-mediated axonal injury. *J Exp Med* 2007;204:2363–72. 10.1084/jem.20071053.
148. Nirula A, Glaser SM, Kalled SL, et al. . What is IgG4? A review of the biology of a unique immunoglobulin subtype. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2011;23: 119–24. 10.1097/BOR.0b013e3283412fd4.
149. Huijbers MG, Zhang W, Klooster R, et al. . MuSK IgG4 autoantibodies cause myasthenia gravis by inhibiting binding between MuSK and Lrp4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;110:20783–8. 10.1073/pnas.1313944110.
150. Labasque M, Hivert B, Nogales-Gadea G, et al. . Specific Contactin N-glycans are implicated in neurofascin binding and autoimmune targeting in peripheral neuropathies. *J Biol Chem* 2014;289:7907–18. 10.1074/jbc.M113.528489.
151. Lindner M, Ng JK, Hochmeister S, et al. . Neurofascin 186 specific autoantibodies induce axonal injury and exacerbate disease severity in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Exp Neurol* 2013;247C:259–66. 10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.05.005.
152. Charles P, Tait S, Faivre-Sarrailh C, et al. . Neurofascin is a glial receptor for the paranodin/Caspr-contactin axonal complex at the axoglial junction. *Curr Biol* 2002;12:217–20. 10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00680-7.
153. Rinaldi S, Brennan KM, Kalna G, et al. . Antibodies to heteromeric glycolipid complexes in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e82337 10.1371/journal.pone.0082337.
154. Willison HJ, Goodyear CS. Glycolipid antigens and autoantibodies in autoimmune neuropathies. *Trends Immunol* 2013;34:453–9. 10.1016/j.it.2013.05.001.
155. Cappelen-Smith C, Kuwabara S, Lin CS, et al. . Membrane properties in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Brain* 2001;124:2439–47. 10.1093/brain/124.12.2439.
156. Lin CS, Krishnan AV, Park SB, et al. . Modulatory effects on axonal function after intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Arch Neurol* 2011;68:862–9. 10.1001/archneurol.2011.137.
157. Dalakas MC. Advances in the diagnosis, pathogenesis and treatment of CIDP. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2011;7:507–17. 10.1038/nrneurol.2011.121.
158. Tasaki I. Conduction of the nerve impulse. In: Field J, Magoun HW, Hall VE. *Handbook of physiology, Section 1, neurophysiology*. Washington DC: American Physiological Society, 1959:75–121.
159. Bostock H, Grafe P. Activity-dependent excitability changes in normal and demyelinated rat spinal root axons. *J Physiol* 1985;365:239–57. 10.1113/jphysiol.1985.sp015769.



160. Cappelen-Smith C, Kuwabara S, Lin CS, et al. . Activity-dependent hyperpolarization and conduction block in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 2000;48:826–32. 10.1002/1531-8249(200012)48:6<826::AID-ANA2>3.0.CO;2-P.
161. Hitomi T, Kaji R, Murase N, et al. . Dynamic change of proximal conduction in demyelinating neuropathies: a cervical magnetic stimulation combined with maximum voluntary contraction. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2007;118:741–50. 10.1016/j.clinph.2006.11.013.
162. Straver DC, van den Berg LH, Franssen H. Activity-dependent conduction block in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol Sci* 2011;300:33–8. 10.1016/j.jns.2010.10.008.
163. Kiernan MC, Lin CS, Burke D. Differences in activity-dependent hyperpolarization in human sensory and motor axons. *J Physiol* 2004;558:341–9. 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.063966.
164. Howells J, Trevillion L, Bostock H, et al. . The voltage dependence of I(h) in human myelinated axons. *J Physiol* 2012;590:1625–40. 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.225573.
165. Eftimov F, Liesdek MH, Verhamme C, et al. . Deterioration after corticosteroids in CIDP may be associated with pure focal demyelination pattern. *BMC Neurol* 2014;14:72 10.1186/1471-2377-14-72.
166. Hall ED, Baker T, Riker WF Jr. Glucocorticoid effects on spinal cord function. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1978;206:361–70.
167. Braughler JM, Hall ED. Acute enhancement of spinal cord synaptosomal (Na<sup>+</sup> + K<sup>+</sup>)-ATPase activity in cats following intravenous methylprednisolone. *Brain Res* 1981;219:464–9. 10.1016/0006-8993(81)90311-5.
168. Hall ED. Glucocorticoid effects on the electrical properties of spinal motor neurons. *Brain Res* 1982;240:109–16. 10.1016/0006-8993(82)90648-5.
169. Nordsborg N, Thomassen M, Lundby C, et al. . Contraction-induced increases in Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase mRNA levels in human skeletal muscle are not amplified by activation of additional muscle mass. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2005;289:R84–91. 10.1152/ajpregu.00771.2004.

---

Cite this Article: Md Shamshir Alam, Garima Malik, Priyanka Tanwar, Mamta Naagar, Tarun Singh, Omveer Singh, Manish Kumar Maity (2023). A review on Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 6(1), 259-274